

Federal and state submerged lands. The onshore components, including the onshore interconnection cable, fiber optic cable, switch cabinet, and interconnection station would be located entirely within the boundary of Camp Pendleton. Construction would be supported by construction staging area(s) and a construction port. Onshore support facilities would be located at existing waterfront industrial or commercial sites in the cities of Virginia Beach, Norfolk, and/or Newport News, Virginia.

2. Proposed Action and Scope of Analysis

The proposed action that will be the subject of the EA is the approval of the RAP. In addition to the no action alternative (disapproval of the RAP), other alternatives may be considered, such as exclusion of certain areas from project siting or modification of project activities. The EA will consider the reasonably foreseeable environmental consequences associated with the proposed project, including the impacts of the construction, operation, maintenance, and decommissioning of the WTGs and cables, including the impacts of noise, presence of structures, bottom disturbance, vessel traffic, and onshore activities.

This notice starts the scoping process for the EA and solicits information regarding important environmental issues and alternatives that should be considered in the EA. Additionally, BOEM will use the scoping process to identify and eliminate from study issues that are not significant or issues that have been analyzed by prior environmental reviews.

It is BOEM's intention to prepare an EA that informs all Federal decisions, including those by the Department of Energy and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, which are needed to determine whether and, if so, how the proposed action will proceed (40 CFR 1501.6).

BOEM will use responses to this notice and the EA public input process to satisfy the public involvement requirements of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f), as provided in 36 CFR 800.2(d)(3), and is seeking information from the public on the identification of historic properties that might be impacted by VOWTAP. The analyses contained within the EA also will support compliance with other environmental statutes (e.g., Endangered Species Act, Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, and Marine Mammal Protection Act).

3. Cooperating Agencies

BOEM invites Federal, state, and local government agencies, as well as tribal governments, to consider becoming cooperating agencies in the preparation of this EA. Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA define cooperating agencies as those with "jurisdiction by law or special expertise" (40 CFR 1508.5). Potential cooperating agencies should consider their authority and capacity to assume the responsibilities of a cooperating agency and remember that an agency's role in the environmental analysis neither enlarges nor diminishes the final decisionmaking authority of any other agency involved in the NEPA process.

Upon request, BOEM will provide potential cooperating agencies with a draft Memorandum of Agreement that includes a schedule with critical action dates and milestones, mutual responsibilities, designated points of contact, and expectations for handling pre-decisional information. Agencies should also consider the "Factors for Determining Cooperating Agency Status" in Attachment 1 to CEQ's January 30, 2002, Memorandum for the Heads of Federal Agencies: Cooperating Agencies in Implementing the Procedural Requirements of the NEPA. A copy of this document is available at: <http://ceq.hss.doe.gov/nepa/regs/cooperating/cooperatingagenciesmemorandum.html> and at: <http://ceq.hss.doe.gov/nepa/regs/cooperating/cooperatingagencymemofactors.html>.

BOEM, as the lead agency, will not provide financial assistance to cooperating agencies. Even if an organization is not a cooperating agency, opportunities will exist to provide information and comments to BOEM during the normal public input phases of the NEPA/EA process.

4. Comments

Federal, State, local government agencies, tribal governments, and other interested parties are requested to send their written comments on the important issues to be considered in the EA by either of the following methods:

1. Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. In the entry titled "Enter Keyword or ID," enter BOEM-2014-0009, and then click "search." Follow the instructions to submit public comments and view supporting and related materials available for this notice;
2. By U.S. Postal Service or other delivery service, send your comments and information to the following

address: Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Office of Renewable Energy Programs, 381 Elden Street, HM 1328, Herndon, Virginia 20170-4817; or

3. In person at the EA public scoping meeting.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comments to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: March 3, 2014.

Tommy P. Beaudreau,
Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.

[FR Doc. 2014-05683 Filed 3-13-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-866]

Certain Wireless Communications Equipment and Articles Therein; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation Based on a Settlement Agreement; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 62) granting a joint motion to terminate the above-referenced investigation based on a settlement agreement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission

may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on January 31, 2013, based on a Complaint filed by Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of Seoul, Republic of Korea, and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC of Richardson, Texas (collectively "Samsung"). 78 FR 6837-38 (Jan. 31, 2013). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless communications equipment and articles therein by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,782,749; 8,165,081; 8,208,438 ("the '438 patent"); 8,228,827; 6,617,929; 6,767,813 ("the '813 patent"); and 6,865,682. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents Ericsson Inc. of Plano, Texas and Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson of Stockholm, Sweden (collectively, "Ericsson"). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party. The Commission previously terminated the investigation with respect to the '813 patent and the '438 patent. Notice (July 12, 2013); Notice (Oct. 25, 2013).

On January 27, 2014, Samsung and Ericsson filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon a settlement agreement. On January 29, 2014, Samsung and Ericsson filed a supplement to their motion, attaching a revised public version of the Agreement. On January 30, 2014, the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") filed a response in support of the motion.

On February 10, 2014, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety. The ALJ found that the joint motion complied with the requirements of section 210.21(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)) and that there are no extraordinary circumstances that would prevent the requested terminations. The ALJ agreed with movants and the IA that granting

the motion would not be contrary to the public interest. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 11, 2014.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-05634 Filed 3-13-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-749 (Third Review)]

Persulfates From China; Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on March 1, 2013 (78 FR 13891, corrected 78 FR 14591, March 6, 2013) and determined on June 4, 2013, that it would conduct a full review (78 FR 35314, June 12, 2013). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on August 27, 2013 (78 FR 52969), revised on October 28, 2013 (78 FR 64244). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on January 16, 2014, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission completed and filed its determination in this review on March 10, 2014. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

Publication 4456 (March 2014), entitled *Persulfates From China: Investigation No. 731-TA-749 (Third Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 10, 2014.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-05556 Filed 3-13-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-889]

Certain Wireless Devices, Including Mobile Phones and Tablets; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation Based on a Settlement Agreement; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 10) granting a joint motion to terminate the above-referenced investigation based on a settlement agreement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on August 5, 2013, based on a Complaint filed by Pragmatus Mobile, LLC of Alexandria, Virginia