

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSE-2011-11 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2011-11. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090. Copies of the filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the NYSE's principal office and on its Internet Web site at <http://www.nyse.com>. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2011-11 and should be submitted on or before April 22, 2011.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁷

Cathy H. Ahn,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-7654 Filed 3-31-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-64132; File No. SR-BATS-2011-009]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; BATS Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1, To Create a Directed Order Program on a 6-Month Pilot Basis

March 28, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 16, 2011, BATS Exchange, Inc. ("Exchange" or "BATS") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. On March 24, 2011, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule filing.³ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing with the Commission a proposal for the BATS Exchange Options Market ("BATS Options") to create, on a pilot basis, new BATS Rule 21.1(d)(13), entitled "Market Maker Price Improving Orders" and new BATS Rule 21.1(d)(14), entitled "Directed Orders." The Exchange also proposes to amend existing BATS Rule 21.1(d)(2), entitled "Price Improving Orders."

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange's Web site at <http://www.batstrading.com>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the

places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing certain modifications and additions to its rules related to the trading of options. First, the Exchange is proposing the establishment of new Rule 21.1(d)(13), entitled Market Maker Price Improving Orders. Second the Exchange is proposing the establishment of new Rule 21.1(d)(14), entitled Directed Orders. Third, the Exchange is proposing to modify the text of Rule 21.1(d)(6), entitled Price Improving Orders, to make a clarifying change.⁴

The Exchange is proposing the rule changes described below to establish a directed order program through which members of BATS Options ("Options Members") can direct an order to a particular BATS Options Market Maker for potential execution at a price improved over the existing National Best Bid ("NBB") or National Best Offer ("NBO"). As part of this program, BATS is proposing to define two new order types. The first would be new Rule 21.1(d)(13), entitled Market Maker Price Improving Orders, which are orders from a BATS Options Market Maker to buy or sell an option that has a displayed price and size and a non-displayed price at which the BATS Options Market Maker is willing to trade with a Directed Order. As proposed, a Market Maker Price Improving Order would be ranked on the BATS Options Book at its displayed price. The non-displayed price of the Market Maker Price Improving Order would not be entered into the BATS Options Book, but would be, along with its displayed size, converted to a buy or sell order at its non-displayed price in

⁴ The Exchange previously filed a proposal to establish a directed order program for BATS Options. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63403 (December 1, 2010), 75 FR 76059 (December 7, 2010) (SR-BATS-2010-034) (notice of filing of directed order program proposal). The Exchange withdrew its original filing in its entirety and has re-filed this proposal to address comments received on the proposal by eliminating the originally proposed midpoint order functionality, to provide additional clarity in a few areas, and to propose operation of the directed order program on a pilot basis. In a manner consistent with this filing, the Exchange also responded to comments received on the original proposal. See Letter from Eric J. Swanson, Secretary, BATS Exchange, Inc. to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission dated February 24, 2011.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange listed additional data that the Exchange will include in monthly reports to be provided to the Commission during the pilot program.

¹⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

response to a Directed Order directed to the BATS Options Market Maker. For the avoidance of doubt, the Exchange reiterates that, as proposed, the full displayed size of a Market Maker Price Improving Order, and only the full displayed size of a Market Maker Price Improving Order, is available to trade with a Directed Order directed to a BATS Options Market Maker. Key to the structure of the proposal is that it creates incentives for displayed price and size discovery available to all market participants.

The second new order type proposed would be new Rule 21.1(d)(14), entitled Directed Orders, which are orders from a BATS Options Member that are directed for execution to a particular BATS Options Market Maker. For a BATS Options Market Maker to participate in an execution against a Directed Order, (1) the Directed Order must be from a BATS Options Member that is on a list of eligible Options Members provided to the Exchange by the BATS Options Market Maker, in a manner prescribed by the Exchange, (2) the BATS Options Market Maker must be publicly quoting on BATS at the NBB (for sell Directed Orders) or NBO (for buy Directed Orders) with a Market Maker Price Improving Order that contains a non-displayed amount of price improvement over the NBB or NBO at the time the Directed Order arrives to the Exchange, and (3) the Directed Order must be marketable against the non-displayed price of the Market Maker Price Improving Order.

If the above conditions are met, and if there are no other non-displayed orders at prices equal to or better than the non-displayed price of the Market Maker Price Improving Order, the Directed Order will trade with the Market Maker Price Improving Order up to the full displayed size of the Market Maker Price Improving Order. Any remaining contracts from the Directed Order will be handled, consistent with the instructions on the Directed Order, in accordance with the order display and book processing requirements of Rule 21.8 and, if applicable, processed in accordance with the order routing requirements of Rule 21.9.

If there are non-displayed orders on the BATS Options Book at prices equal to or better than the non-displayed price of the Market Maker Price Improving Order, those other non-displayed orders will in all cases have priority over the non-displayed price of the Market Maker Price Improving Order. In such circumstances, the Market Maker Price Improving Order may still execute at its non-displayed price against the Directed Order consistent with the price/time

priority provisions of Rule 21.8 to the extent of any remaining contracts of the Directed Order. Any contracts remaining of the Directed Order will continue to be processed in a manner consistent with the order display and book processing provisions of Rule 21.8, and if applicable, the order routing provisions of Rule 21.9.

As proposed, an Options Market Maker Price Improving Order would be required to have a non-displayed price better than the displayed limit price that could be entered in an increment as small as (1) one cent. The non-displayed price of a Market Maker Price Improving Order is not entered into the BATS Options Book, and is only eligible to trade with a Directed Order to the extent that certain conditions precedent are satisfied, including (1) that the displayed price of the Market Maker Price Improving Order is equal to the NBB (for sell directed orders) or the NBO (for buy directed orders) at the time the Directed Order arrives to the Exchange, and (2) that there are no other orders on the BATS Options Book at prices equal to or better than the non-displayed prices of the Market Maker Price Improving Order.⁵

As noted elsewhere in this proposal, in all cases a Market Maker Price Improving Order must include a displayed price that is equal to the NBB or NBO for such order to be eligible to execute at its non-displayed price against a Directed Order. As such, the proposal enhances the public price discovery process—if the market maker is not publicly quoting at the NBB or NBO at the time the Directed Order arrives to BATS Options, the market maker will only trade with that Directed Order to the extent that any other interest on the BATS Options Book at or better than the NBB or NBO and any other interest with price/time priority over the market maker's order is first satisfied. Accordingly, in order to enjoy the benefits of trading against Directed Orders, a market maker is required to publicly display a competitively priced order which is available, and hence at risk, to all Options Members.

The Exchange is also proposing to delete certain language from its existing Price Improving Order rule text. In particular, as currently written, Rule 21.1(d)(6) states that “Price Improving Orders *that are available for display* * * *.”⁶ The Exchange is proposing to

delete the clause “that are available to display,” which although intended to simply distinguish an order executed upon arrival to the Exchange from an order posting to the BATS Options Book, has the potential to cause confusion to the extent it may suggest that Price Improving Orders can be posted on the BATS Options Book without a displayed price. That is not the case today, would not be the case under the proposed changes to the rules, and BATS is proposing to delete this clause to eliminate any confusion on this point.

The elements of the Exchange's proposal to create a directed order program are specifically designed to enhance opportunities available in the market for Options Members to obtain price improvement for customer orders in the context of BATS' price/time priority, continuous auction market. By requiring BATS Options Market Makers to be quoting at the NBB or NBO to participate in an execution against a Directed Order directed to it, BATS' proposal incentivizes market makers to competitively quote and thereby furthers the public price discovery process. By further requiring BATS Options Market Makers to include a non-displayed price better than the displayed limit price at an increment as small as (1) one cent, the proposal increases the opportunities for customer orders to receive price improvement over the NBBO. Moreover, by permitting all Options Members to enter orders in the same increments as Market Maker Price Improving Orders, and according those orders in all cases priority at their non-displayed prices over Market Maker Price Improving Orders, the proposal avoids creating participation guarantees in place at other markets and instead promotes market-wide competition for executions at prices between the NBBO.

Further, the proposal provides all market participants, including other market makers that do not have orders directed to them, with the ability to compete with market makers for executions against Directed Orders. BATS notes that all members, including market makers, have the ability to enter Price Improving Orders and any other orders that can compete in the same price increments with a particular market maker's Market Maker Price Improving Order. In options classes not subject to the penny pilot, market makers and non-market makers have the ability under the proposal to post orders with non-displayed prices at penny increments between the NBBO. Importantly, in all cases, market makers cede priority to all other orders priced equal to or better than the non-

⁵ As described in proposed Rule 11.9(c)(13)(B), all other interest on the BATS Book at prices equal to or better than the non-displayed price of the Market Maker Price Improving Order has priority over the Market Maker Price Improving Order and, hence, will execute first against the Directed Order.

⁶ Emphasis added.

displayed price of their Market Maker Price Improving Orders. In options classes subject to the penny pilot, all market participants can effectively compete against non-displayed Market Maker Price Improving Orders simply by improving the NBBO. In BATS' price/time priority market, the non-displayed portion of a Market Maker Price Improving Order will only execute at the non-displayed price when that price is the best available price. In addition, through its fee structure and "maker/taker" pricing, BATS currently incents and intends to continue to provide certain incentives to BATS Options Members to display aggressively priced liquidity on BATS Options.

BATS also wishes to make clear that its proposal has no impact on its existing rules regarding customer order facilitation. Today, BATS members seeking to facilitate customer order on BATS Options must comply with facilitation rules requiring the member to expose either the customer order or the principal order on the exchange for one second prior to a facilitation execution. These rules are similar to rules in effect on other exchanges, and the Exchange's proposal does not amend these requirements.⁷

Pursuant to the proposed directed order program, a BATS Options Member who notifies a BATS Options Market Maker of its intention to submit a Directed Order to BATS Options so that the BATS Options Market Maker could change its quotation to match the NBB or NBO immediately prior to submission of the Directed Order would be engaging in conduct inconsistent with just and equitable principles of trade in violation of Rule 3.1 and Rule 18.4(f). In addition, a BATS Options Market Maker who becomes aware of a customer order from an affiliated broker-dealer or desk within the same broker-dealer and acts on such information to change its quotations to match the NBB or NBO immediately prior to submission of a Directed Order would be in violation of the Exchange's Rule 22.10, "Limitations on Dealings".

⁷ Specifically, BATS Rule 22.12 prohibits Options Members from executing "as principal orders they represent as agent unless (a) agency orders are first exposed on BATS Options for at least one (1) second or (b) the Options Member has been bidding or offering on BATS Options for at least one (1) second prior to receiving an agency order that is executable against such bid or offer (exposure)." Rule 22.12 prevents Options Members from executing agency orders to increase economic gain from trading against the order without first giving other trading interest on BATS Options an opportunity to either trade with the agency order or to trade at the execution price when the Options Member was already bidding or offering on the book.

BATS will proactively conduct surveillance for such conduct and enforce against such violations.

The Exchange is proposing to adopt Rules 21.1(d)(13) and 21.1(d)(14) as a six month pilot. During this pilot period, the Exchange will study the impact of the rules and will provide the Commission with monthly reports detailing its ongoing review of the pilot. These reports will include: data and analysis regarding price improvement trends following implementation of the directed order program, an assessment of the quotation spreads on BATS Options, data reflecting the size and depth of markets, and descriptions of any patterns that emerge during the pilot period. Specific data included in such reports will include, but not be limited to: (1) The number of Directed Orders submitted to BATS Options; (2) the number of Market Maker Price Improving Orders submitted to BATS Options; (3) information regarding the types of market participants that sent Directed Orders; (4) the number of Market Makers that participated in the directed order program; (5) the percentage of time that Market Makers participating in the directed order program were at the NBBO when a Directed Order arrived at BATS Options; (6) the number of orders, excluding Market Maker Price Improving Orders, against which an incoming Directed Order executed; (7) the proportion of each Directed Order that was executed against a Market Maker Price Improving Order; (8) the percentage of Directed Orders that received price improvement over the NBBO; (9) the average amount of price improvement for Directed Orders; and (10) data related to the quality of the best bid and offer on BATS Options.

2. Statutory Basis

Approval of the rule changes proposed in this submission on a pilot basis is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder that are applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, with the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁸ In particular, the proposed change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁹ because it would promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of, a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, protect investors and the public interest.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule meets these requirements in that it promotes competition for customer orders and furthers the public price discovery process by both incentivizing BATS Options Market Makers to publicly display aggressive quotes at the NBBO, as well as incentivizing BATS Options Market Makers and all other BATS Options Members to post non-displayed prices better than the NBBO. BATS notes that the Commission has previously found consistent with the Act non-displayed order types designed to provide price improvement at prices smaller than the minimum price variation in listed options.¹⁰

Moreover, the Commission has previously approved rules that provide specialist or market maker guarantees up to a certain percentage so long as the specialist or market maker is quoting at the NBBO and such guarantees do not rise to a level that could have a material adverse impact on quote competition with a particular exchange.¹¹ While BATS' directed order program requires BATS Options Market Makers to be quoting at the NBB or NBO to be eligible to trade with an incoming Directed Order directed to it, in contrast to prior rules approved by the Commission, BATS' proposed directed order program provides no participation guarantees that could negatively impact quote competition. By not providing such guarantees, BATS's proposed directed order program provides incentives to BATS Options Market Makers as well as all other BATS Options Members to aggressively quote, both at the NBBO and at non-displayed prices better than the NBBO.

In addition, the Commission has previously approved rules that permit a specialist or market maker to determine the firms from which it will accept directed or preferenced orders. The Commission has explicitly approved a process similar to that proposed by BATS in the equity markets in which only those members who have been permissioned by a market maker are eligible to submit directed orders to the market maker.¹² And, the Commission

¹⁰ See, e.g., BATS Options Rule 21.1(d)(6) "Price Improving Orders"; Nasdaq Options Market Rule Chapter VI, Section 1(e)(6) "Price Improving Orders".

¹¹ See, e.g., Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51759 (May 27, 2005), 70 FR 32860 (June 6, 2005) (SR-Phlx-2004-91) (order approving the establishment of a directed order process with certain specialist participation guarantees).

¹² See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 52827 (November 23, 2005), 70 FR 72193 (December 1, 2005) (SR-PCX-2005-56) (order approving certain modifications to the PCX Equities, Inc.'s Directed Order Process on the Archipelago Exchange).

has implicitly approved such processes in the options markets by allowing certain price improvement auctions to exist pursuant to pilot programs, which auctions provide the ability of an options member to submit a customer order along with a contra-side principal order from the options member into a brief price improvement auction in which all members have the ability to compete for the execution.¹³ BATS' proposed rule changes are similar in nature to these price improvement auctions, except that under BATS' proposal, competition for the execution with a Directed Order occurs in the context of BATS' continuous, price/time priority auction, rather than during a separate, one-second price improvement auction. As such, concerns about customer orders potentially "missing the market" during that exposure period are not present. That said, however, BATS has proposed to the Commission price improvement data and other data deemed necessary to evaluate the impact of the proposal. Also, as previously mentioned, BATS' proposal differs from existing price improvement auctions due to the fact that BATS Options Market Makers would have no participation guarantees.

The Exchange notes market makers already retain the discretion to pay certain firms non-transparent payment for order flow amounts. The proposal similarly retains that existing discretion for market makers, but provides a mechanism for such payments, or at least a portion of such payments, to be provided in a transparent fashion to the Directed Order in the form of price improvement over the NBBO.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change imposes any burden on competition.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve or disapprove the proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No.1, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-BATS-2011-009 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BATS-2011-009. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official

business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BATS-2011-009 and should be submitted on or before April 22, 2011.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁴

Cathy H. Ahn,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-7688 Filed 3-31-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-64130; File No. SR-NYSEAmex-2011-17]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Amex LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Deleting Rule 346—NYSE Amex Equities and Adopting New Rule 3270—NYSE Amex Equities To Correspond With Rule Changes Filed by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.

March 28, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) ¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") ² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, ³ notice is hereby given that on March 14, 2011, NYSE Amex LLC (the "SRO" or "NYSE Amex") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the SRO. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The SRO proposes to delete Rule 346—NYSE Amex Equities and adopt new Rule 3270—NYSE Amex Equities to correspond with rule changes filed by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") and approved by the Securities and Exchange

¹³ See, e.g., BOX Rule Section 18 "The Price Improvement Period" and ISE Rule 723 "Price Improvement Mechanism for Crossing Transactions" (both of which providing a mechanism for options members that want to internalize customer orders the ability to do so on the exchanges subject to a requirement that such orders first be exposed to all other options members through a brief price improvement auction).

¹⁴ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.