Antidumping Duty Proceedings	Period
Suspension Agreements. None	

In accordance with section 351.213(b) of the regulations, an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters.² If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

As explained in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), the Department has clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders. See also the Import Administration Web site at http:// ia.ita.doc.gov.

Six copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/ Countervailing Operations, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building.

Further, in accordance with section 351.303(f)(l)(i) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the Federal Register a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of September 2006. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of September 2006, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: August 23, 2006.

Thomas F. Futtner,

Acting Office Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration. [FR Doc. E6–14555 Filed 8–31–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Upcoming Sunset Reviews.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

Upcoming Sunset Reviews for October 2006

The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in October 2006 and will appear in that month's Notice of Initiation of Five–Year Sunset Reviews.

Antidumping Duty Proceedings	Department Contact
Pure Magnesium in Granular Form from China (A–570–864)	
Clad Steel Plate from Japan (A-588-838)	
Certain Pasta from Italy (A–475–818)	
Certain Pasta from Turkey (A-489-805)	Brandon Farlander (202) 482–0182
Countervailing Duty Proceedings.	
Certain Pasta from Italy (C-475-819)	Brandon Farlander (202) 482–0182
Certain Pasta from Turkey (C–489–806)	Brandon Farlander (202) 482–0182
Suspended Investigations.	
No suspended investigations are scheduled for initiation in October 2006	

² If the review request involves a non-market economy and the parties subject to the review request do not qualify for separate rates, all other exporters of subject merchandise from the nonmarket economy country who do not have a separate rate will be covered by the review as part of the single entity of which the named firms are a part.

The Department's procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in its Procedures for Conducting Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) and 70 FR 62061 (October 28, 2005). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3--Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin"). The Notice of Initiation of Five–Year ("Sunset") Reviews provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: August 23, 2006.

Thomas F. Futtner, Acting Office Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration. [FR Doc. E6–14559 Filed 8–31–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-428-830]

Stainless Steel Bar from Germany: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

¹ See Stainless Steel Bar from Germany: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative **EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 1, 2006. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brandon Farlander or Audrey Twyman, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0182 or (202) 482– 3534, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 28, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published in the Federal Register the final results of the third administrative review of stainless steel bar from Germany.¹ This review covered the period March 1, 2004, through February 28, 2005. On July 31, 2006, Carpenter Technology Corp., Crucible Specialty Metals Division of Crucible Materials Corp., and Electralloy Corp. (collectively, "petitioners") submitted ministerial error allegations filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(c). On August 7, 2006, BGH Edelstahl Freital GmbH, BGH Edelstahl Lippendorf GmbH, BGH Edelstahl Lugau GmbH, and BGH Edelstahl Siegen GmbH (collectively, "BGH") submitted rebuttal comments. Based on these submissions, we made changes to the margin calculation of BGH. The amended final weighted-average dumping margin for BGH is listed below in the section entitled "Amended Final Results."

Scope of the Order

For the purposes of the order, the term ''stainless steel bar'' includes articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot-rolled, forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled or otherwise cold-finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of circles, segments of circles, ovals, rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons, or other convex polygons. Stainless steel bar includes cold–finished stainless steel bars that are turned or ground in straight lengths, whether produced from hot-rolled bar or from straightened and cut rod or wire, and reinforcing bars that have indentations, ribs, grooves, or other deformations produced during the rolling process.

Except as specified above, the term does not include stainless steel semi– finished products, cut length flat–rolled products (*i.e.*, cut length rolled products which if less than 4.75 mm in thickness have a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness, or if 4.75 mm or more in thickness having a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness), products that have been cut from stainless steel sheet, strip or plate, wire (*i.e.*, cold–formed products in coils, of any uniform solid cross section along their whole length, which do not conform to the definition of flat–rolled products), angles, shapes and sections.

The stainless steel bar subject to this review is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.11.00.05, 7222.11.00.50, 7222.19.00.05, 7222.20.00.45, 7222.20.00.75, and 7222.30.00.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Amended Final Results

After analyzing the submissions, we have determined in accordance with section 735(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930. as amended ("the Act") and 19 CFR 351.224 that we made ministerial errors in the margin calculation for BGH. The ministerial errors affected the U.S. credit expense calculation. These errors were: 1) the Department did not recalculate the credit expense after revising the payment date for some U.S. sales, 2) the Department incorrectly used a U.S. dollar interest rate on U.S. sales that were made in euros, and 3) the Department's programming language did not properly apply the revised U.S. interest rate to all U.S. sales made in U.S. dollars.

For a detailed discussion of the ministerial error allegations and the Department's analysis, see Memorandum from Team through Susan H. Kuhbach to Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, entitled "Ministerial Error Allegations," dated August 28, 2006.

We are amending the final determination of the antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel bar from Germany to reflect the correction of the above–cited ministerial errors. As a result of corrections of the ministerial errors in the *Final Results*, the revised final weighted–average dumping margin is as follows:

Review, 71 FR 42802 (July 28, 2006) (*''Final Results''*).