443–9822 or visit http://www.navydocuments.com.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: ESG COMPTUEX (November/December 2007) is a major Navy Atlantic Fleet training exercise proposed to occur in November and December 2007 in the offshore Virginia Capes, Cherry Point, and Charleston Operating Areas (OPAREAs) and adjacent military installations. The purpose of this exercise is to certify naval forces as combat-ready. Activities conducted during the exercise include air-toground bombing at land ranges, amphibious landings, mine warfare exercises, gunnery exercises, small craft interdiction operations, maritime interdiction operations, and antisubmarine warfare, including use of mid-frequency active (MFA) sonar.

The FONSI is based on analysis contained in a Comprehensive Environmental Assessment (EA) addressing environmental impacts associated with land-based training for Major Atlantic Fleet Training Exercises on the East and Gulf Coasts of the U.S. The FONSH is based on analysis contained in a Comprehensive Overseas Environmental Assessment (OEA) and Supplement to the Comprehensive OEA (SOEA) for environmental impacts associated with Navy's conduct of major exercise training in offshore operating areas along the East and Gulf Coasts of the U.S. Environmental concerns addressed in the EA included land use, community facilities, coastal zone management, socioeconomics, cultural resources, airspace, air quality, noise, geology, soils, water resources, biological resources, munitions and hazardous materials management, and safety. The OEAs addressed potential impacts to the ocean physical environment, fish and Essential Fish Habitat; sea turtles and marine mammals: seabirds and migratory birds: endangered and threatened species; socioeconomics; and cultural resources. The SOEA included an updated analysis of MFA sonar use and gunnery use associated with ESG COMPTUEX (November/December 2007).

This action includes mitigation measures to reduce impacts to a level that is less than significant. Based on information gathered during preparation of the Major Atlantic Fleet Training Exercise EA and OEA and the SOEA and the evaluation of the nature, scope and intensity of the proposed action, the Navy finds that the conduct of the ESG COMPUTEX (November/December 2007) will not significantly impact or harm the environment and, therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement or

Overseas Environmental Impact Statement is not required.

Dated: November 14, 2007.

T.M. Cruz,

Lieutenant, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. E7–22645 Filed 11–19–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Migrant Education Program Consortium Incentive Grant Program

AGENCY: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice of proposed priority.

SUMMARY: The Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education proposes to add an eighth absolute priority to the seven absolute priorities for the Migrant Education Program (MEP) Consortium Incentive Grant (CIG) Program established in the notice of final requirements published in the Federal Register on March 3, 2004 (69 FR 10110) (Notice). The Assistant Secretary may use this proposed absolute priority and the absolute priorities established in the Notice for competitions in fiscal year (FY) 2008 and later years. We take this action to give State educational agencies the option to propose consortium arrangements that would address the educational attainment needs of out-ofschool migratory youth whose education is interrupted.

DATES: We must receive your comments on or before December 20, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments about this proposed priority to Lisa Gillette, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Room 3E253, LBJ, Washington, DC 20202–6135. If you prefer to send your comments through the Internet, use the following address: lisa.gillette@ed.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa Gillette. Telephone: (202) 205–0316 or via Internet: *lisa.gillette@ed.gov*.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an alternative format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Invitation to Comment

We invite you to submit comments regarding this proposed priority.

We invite you to assist us in complying with the specific requirements of Executive Order 12866 and its overall requirement of reducing regulatory burden that might result from this proposed priority. Please let us know of any further opportunities we should take to reduce potential costs or increase potential benefits while preserving the effective and efficient administration of the program.

During and after the comment period, you may inspect all public comments about this proposed priority in room 3E253 at the U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., LBJ, Washington, DC, between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 4 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday of each week except Federal holidays.

Assistance to Individuals With Disabilities in Reviewing the Rulemaking Record

On request, we will supply an appropriate aid, such as a reader or print magnifier, to an individual with a disability who needs assistance to review the comments or other documents in the public rulemaking record for this proposed priority. If you want to schedule an appointment for this type of aid, please contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Background

The MEP CIG Program is authorized under section 1308(d) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (ESEA). The CIG Program provides, on a competitive basis, incentive grants to the State educational agencies (SEAs) receiving MEP Basic Formula Grant awards that participate in high-quality consortium arrangements with another State or appropriate entity. The purpose of these grants is to improve the delivery of services to migratory children whose education is interrupted.

In the Notice, the Department established seven absolute priorities that promote key national objectives. SEAs that sought funding under the CIG had to propose a consortium that addressed one or more of these absolute priorities. These seven absolute priorities are:

(1) Services designed to improve the proper and timely identification and recruitment of eligible migratory children whose education is interrupted;

(2) Services designed (based on a review of scientifically based research) to improve the school readiness of preschool-aged migratory children whose education is interrupted;

(3) Services designed (based on a review of scientifically based research) to improve the reading proficiency of migratory children whose education is

interrupted;

(4) Services designed (based on a review of scientifically based research) to improve the mathematics proficiency of migratory children whose education is interrupted:

(5) Services designed (based on a review of scientifically based research) to decrease the dropout rate of migratory students whose education is interrupted and improve their high school completion rate;

(6) Services designed (based on a review of scientifically based research) to strengthen the involvement of migratory parents in the education of migratory students whose education is

interrupted; and

(7) Services designed (based on a review of scientifically based research) to expand access to innovative educational technologies intended to increase the academic achievement of migratory students whose education is

interrupted.

In the past few years, the Department has noticed an increasing trend in the numbers of out-of-school migratory youth (typically ages 15–22) eligible for the MEP. Often, these young men and women have received limited schooling or have dropped out of high school before earning their diploma or general education development (GED) certificate. Due to their long work hours, the short periods of time that they remain in an area, and the remote locations, away from educational facilities, where they live and work, these out-of-school migratory youth are often the most difficult for SEAs to serve.

The seven absolute priorities established in 2004 do not specifically authorize CIG Program awards for consortium arrangements designed to improve the educational attainment of these out-of-school migratory youth. Given the particular educational needs of this sector of the migrant population, the Department proposes a new, eighth absolute priority under the CIG Program for consortium arrangements that would address out-of-school migratory youth whose education is interrupted. Thus, the proposed change would allow SEAs, based on the needs of migratory children in their respective consortium States, to seek CIG Program funding for consortium activities that would

address any one or more of these eight absolute priorities.

We will announce the final priority in a notice in the **Federal Register**. We will determine the final priority after considering responses to this notice and other information available to the Department. This notice does not preclude us from proposing or funding additional priorities, subject to meeting applicable rulemaking requirements. This final priority will be in addition to the seven absolute priorities published in the Notice.

Note: This notice does not solicit applications. In any year in which we choose to use this proposed priority, we invite applications through a notice in the Federal

Priority: Services designed (based on a review of scientifically based research) to improve the educational attainment of out-of-school migratory youth whose education is interrupted.

Executive Order 12866

This notice of proposed priority has been reviewed in accordance with Executive Order 12866. Under the terms of the order, we have assessed the potential costs and benefits of this regulatory action.

The potential costs associated with the notice of proposed priority are those resulting from statutory requirements and those we have determined as necessary for administering this program effectively and efficiently.

In assessing the potential costs and benefits-both quantitative and qualitative—of this notice of proposed priority, we have determined that the benefits of the proposed priority justify the costs.

We have also determined that this regulatory action does not unduly interfere with State, local, and tribal governments in the exercise of their governmental functions.

Summary of potential costs and benefits: The addition of this proposed absolute priority will not increase the costs to the SEAs applying for consortium awards. The CIG Program is a competitive program for which SEAs may choose to apply. The only eligible applicants for the CIG Program are SEAs receiving MEP Basic State Formula grants under Title I, Part C of the ESEA. Under the MEP Basic State Formula program, SEAs are required to promote interstate and intrastate coordination of services for migratory children. Under the CIG Program, successful applicants receive awards in the form of supplements to their MEP awards. SEAs may use these newly awarded funds to pay for any costs they incur under the

MEP—including costs of consortium activities described in their CIG Program applications.

If finalized, this absolute priority will not create any new costs. In preparing the application package for the CIG Program, the Department estimated that SEAs would prepare a total of 15 applications and expend, over a twoyear application cycle, 50.67 hours per application. The Department believes that the proposed absolute priority will not add to the costs of implementing the MEP. SEAs should take no more time to prepare a CIG application that addresses the proposed absolute priority than to prepare an application that addresses one of the seven existing absolute priorities. Further, the Department does not anticipate that the addition of this absolute priority will generate an increase in the number of applications it will receive.

On the other hand, the proposed absolute priority will provide significant benefits. It will give SEAs the opportunity to seek these supplemental MEP funds on the basis of consortium activities that address an important new area—the educational attainment of outof-school migratory youth whose education is interrupted. In this regard, out-of-school migratory youth typically have received limited schooling or have dropped out of high school without attaining a high school diploma or GED certificate. Adding this new absolute priority to the seven existing absolute priorities for the CIG Program can thereby help out-of-school migratory youth improve their educational attainment.

Intergovernmental Review

This program is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. One of the objectives of the Executive order is to foster an intergovernmental partnership and a strengthened federalism. The Executive order relies on processes developed by State and local governments for coordination and review of proposed Federal financial assistance.

This document provides early notification of our specific plans and actions for this program.

Electronic Access to This Document

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal** Register, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: http://www.ed.gov/ news/fedregister.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1–888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: http://www.gpoaccess.gov/nara/index.html.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 84.144 Migrant Education Coordination Program).

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6398(d).

Dated: November 5, 2007.

Kerri L. Briggs,

Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.

[FR Doc. E7–22680 Filed 11–19–07; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP06-416-004]

Northwest Pipeline GP; Notice of Compliance Filing

November 9, 2007.

Take notice that on October 31, 2007, Northwest Pipeline GP (Northwest) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, with an effective date of January 1, 2008:

Seventeenth Revised Sheet No. 7 First Revised Sheet No. 7—A

Northwest states that the filing is being made in compliance with the Commission's order issued on February 5, 2007 in Docket No. CP06–416–000.

Any person desiring to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). Protests to this filing will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Such protests must be filed on or before the date as indicated below. Anyone filing a protest must serve a copy of that document on all the parties to the proceeding.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at http://www.ferc.gov, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time November 15, 2007.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–22618 Filed 11–19–07; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER07-1378-000]

Providence Heights Wind, LLC; Notice of Issuance of Order

November 13, 2007.

Providence Heights Wind, LLC (Providence) filed an application for market-based rate authority, with an accompanying rate schedule. The proposed market-based rate schedule provides for the sale of energy, capacity and ancillary services at market-based rates. Providence also requested waivers of various Commission regulations. In particular, Providence requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 C.F.R. Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability by Providence.

On November 9, 2007, pursuant to delegated authority, the Director, Division of Tariffs and Market Development-West, granted the requests for blanket approval under Part 34 (Director's Order). The Director's Order also stated that the Commission would publish a separate notice in the Federal Register establishing a period of time for the filing of protests. Accordingly, any person desiring to be heard concerning the blanket approvals of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by Providence, should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. 18 CFR 385.211, 385.214 (2004).

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing protests is December 10, 2007.

Absent a request to be heard in opposition to such blanket approvals by the deadline above, Providence is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, indorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of Providence, compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approvals of Providence's issuance of securities or assumptions of liability.

Copies of the full text of the Director's Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Room, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. The Order may also be viewed on the Commission's Web site at http://www.ferc.gov, using the eLibrary link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number filed to access the document. Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a) (1) (iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–22623 Filed 11–19–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. ER07-1303-000; ER07-1303-001; ER07-1303-002]

PS Energy Group, Inc.; Notice of Issuance of Order

November 13, 2007.

PS Energy Group (PS Energy) filed an application for market-based rate authority, with an accompanying rate schedule. The proposed market-based rate schedule provides for the sale of energy and capacity at market-based rates. PS Energy also requested waivers of various Commission regulations. In particular, PS Energy requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future