

and from 4 p.m. through 8:30 p.m. on December 18, 2022 for Item 5 in Table 1 of Section 100.1101.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this publication of enforcement, call or email Lieutenant Junior Grade Shera Kim, Waterways Management, U.S. Coast Guard Sector San Diego, CA; telephone (619) 278-7656, email [MarineEventsSD@uscg.mil](mailto:MarineEventsSD@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

The Coast Guard will enforce the special local regulations in 33 CFR 100.1101 for the San Diego Parade of Lights in San Diego Bay, CA in 33 CFR 100.1101, Table 1, Item 5 of that section from 4p.m. until 8:30 p.m. on December 11, 2022 and on December 18, 2022. This enforcement action is being taken to provide for the safety of life on navigable waterways during the event. The Coast Guard's regulation for recurring marine events in the San Diego Captain of the Port Zone identifies the regulated entities and area for this event. During the enforcement periods and under the provisions of 33 CFR 100.1101, persons and vessels are prohibited from anchoring, blocking, loitering, or impeding within this regulated area, unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated representative. The Coast Guard may be assisted by other Federal, State, or local law enforcement agencies in enforcing this regulation.

In addition to this document in the **Federal Register**, the Coast Guard will provide the maritime community with advance notification of this enforcement period via the Local Notice to Mariners, marine information broadcasts, and local advertising by the event sponsor.

Dated: November 16, 2022.

**J.W. Spittler,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector San Diego.*

[FR Doc. 2022-25427 Filed 11-21-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-04-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2022-0857]

RIN 1625-AA00

#### Safety Zone; Ohio River Mile Marker 0.3-1.5, Pittsburgh, PA

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for the Ohio River mile marker 0.3-1.5. This action is necessary to provide for the safety of life on these navigable waters during drilling operations from November 28, 2022 until December 3, 2022. This rulemaking would prohibit persons and vessels from being in the safety zone, create a slow speed/no wake zone and limit commercial traffic to one way passing unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Pittsburgh or a designated representative. The safety zone is needed to protect personnel and vessels from potential hazards created by working in the Ohio River channel.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 6 a.m. November 28, 2022 through 11:59 p.m. on December 3, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG-2022-0857 in the search box and click "Search." Next, in the Document Type column, select "Supporting & Related Material."

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this rule, call or email MST1 Onnalee Blackledge, Marine Safety Unit Pittsburgh, U.S. Coast Guard, at telephone 412-221-0807 ext 222, email [Onnalee.A.Blackledge@uscg.mil](mailto:Onnalee.A.Blackledge@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

#### II. Background Information and Regulatory History

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are "impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest." Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because it is impracticable. The safety zone must be established by November 28, 2022 and we lack sufficient time to provide a reasonable comment period and then consider those comments before issuing

this rule. The NPRM process would delay the establishment of the safety zones until after the scheduled date for the drilling operations.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Delaying the effective date of this rule would be impracticable because this action is necessary to ensure the safety of vessels and persons during the drilling operations on November 28, 2022.

#### III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034 (previously 33 U.S.C. 1231). The Captain of the Port Marine Safety Unit Pittsburgh (COTP) has determined that a safety zone from mile marker 0.3 to 1.5 is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment from potential hazards created from drilling operations starting November 28, 2022 until December 3, 2022.

#### IV. Discussion of the Rule

This rule establishes a safety zone on from 6 a.m. on November 28, 2022 through 11:59 p.m. on December 3, 2022. The safety zone will cover all navigable waters on the Ohio River between mile marker 0.3 and 1.5, it would create a slow speed/no wake zone and limit commercial traffic to one way passing. The duration of the safety zone is intended to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment from potential hazards created by drilling operations.

No vessel or person is permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative. A designated representative is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) assigned to units under the operational control of the COTP. To seek permission to enter, contact the COTP or a designated representative via VHF-FM channel 16, or through Marine Safety Unit Pittsburgh at 412-221-0807. Persons and vessels permitted to enter the safety zone must comply with all lawful orders or directions issued by the COTP or designated representative. The COTP or a designated representative will inform the public of the effective period for the safety zone as well as any changes in the dates and times of enforcement through Local Notice to Mariners (LNMs), Broadcast Notices to Mariners (BNMs), and/or Marine Safety Information Bulletins (MSIBs), as appropriate.

## V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

### A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. This rule has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, and duration of the temporary safety zone. This safety zone impacts only a 1.2 mile stretch of the Ohio River for 24 hours a day starting November 28, 2022 at 6 a.m. until December 3, 2022 at 11:59 p.m. Vessel traffic will be informed about the safety zone through local notices to mariners. Moreover, the Coast Guard will issue LNM, MSIBs, and/or BNMs via VHF-FM marine channel 16 about the zone and the rule allows vessels to seek permission from the COTP to transit the zone.

### B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the temporary safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V.A above, this rule will not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental

jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please call or email the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

### C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

### D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

### E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure,

we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

### F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a safety zone lasting from 6 a.m. on November 28, 2022 until 11:59 p.m. on December 3, 2022 that will prohibit entry on the Ohio River between mile marker 0.3 and 1.5, create a slow speed/no wake zone and limit commercial traffic to one way passing during drilling operations. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(A) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1. A Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket. For instructions on locating the docket, see the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble.

### G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protestors. Protesters are asked to call or email the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

## PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.2.

■ 2. Add § 165.T08–0857 to read as follows:

**§ 165.T08–0922 Safety Zone; Ohio River, Miles 0.3–1.5, Pittsburgh, PA.**

(a) *Location.* The following area is a temporary safety zone: all navigable waters of the Ohio River between Mile Marker 0.3 and Mile Marker 1.5.

(b) *Definitions.* As used in this section, *designated representative* means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, and local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port Pittsburgh (COTP) in the enforcement of the safety zone.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) Under the general safety zone regulations in subpart C of this part, you may not enter the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section unless authorized by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.

(2) To seek permission to enter, contact the COTP or the COTP's representative by phone at 412–221–0807. Those in the safety zone must comply with all lawful orders or directions given to them by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.

(d) *Enforcement period.* This section is effective from 6 a.m. on November 28, 2022, through 11:59 p.m. on December 3, 2022.

Dated: November 16, 2022

**Justin R. Jolley,**

*Lieutenant Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting, Captain of the Port Marine Safety Unit Pittsburgh.*

[FR Doc. 2022–25416 Filed 11–21–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

### 38 CFR Part 17

**RIN 2900–AR31**

### Readjustment Counseling Service Scholarship Program

**AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) is adding new regulations that govern scholarship programs that will benefit certain health care professionals. This rulemaking implements the mandates of the Commander John Scott Hannon Veterans Mental Health Care Improvement Act of 2019 by establishing the Readjustment Counseling Service Scholarship Program (RCSSP). The RCSSP provides educational assistance to individuals who pursue a graduate degree in

psychology, social work, marriage and family therapy, or mental health counseling that meet the education requirements for appointment as a health care professional in one of the aforementioned fields in VA Vet Centers.

**DATES:** This rule is effective December 22, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charles Flora, Social Science Specialist, Readjustment Counseling Services, 810 Vermont Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20420, (202) 461–6525. (This is not a toll-free telephone number.)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On November 5, 2021, VA published a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** (86 FR 81094) that would establish the Readjustment Counseling Service Scholarship Program (RCSSP) in 38 CFR 17.545 through 17.553 pursuant to section 502 of Public Law 116–171, the Commander John Scott Hannon Veterans Mental Health Care Improvement Act of 2019 (the Act), enacted on October 17, 2020. The Act established new sections 7698 through 7699B and created the RCSSP to serve as an incentive to individuals who are pursuing a graduate degree in psychology, social work, marriage and family therapy, or mental health counseling to fill existing vacancies in Vet Centers that are located in areas that are designated as medically underserved populations and in States with a per capita population of more than five percent veterans according to the National Center for Veterans Analysis and Statistics and the Bureau of the Census (42 U.S.C. 254b(b)(3)).

VA provided a 60-day comment period, which ended on January 4, 2022. VA received two comments on the proposed rule. One comment was supportive of the rule. We thank the commenter for their support and do not make any changes based on the comment.

The other comment was supportive of the rule but expressed concern about the amount of funding for psychology doctoral students and the supervision requirements in the rule. VA stated in proposed § 17.549(c) that VA would fund RCSSP for social work, marriage and family therapy, professional mental health counseling, and psychology graduate students for a maximum of two years. The commenter suggested that VA increase the RCSSP funding period for psychology students to be commensurate with their increased experience, training, and value and to account for the fact that the other occupations only require a two-year degree whereas a psychology degree is

five years, thereby leaving psychology participants with potentially significant debt compared to their counterparts in the other occupations. The commenter was concerned that psychology students could be dissuaded from participating in the RCSSP if they will still carry significant debt after graduation.

VA has considered the issues presented by the commenter, however, we reiterate from the proposed rule that the two-year limit on funding is intended to equalize the award and obligated service requirements across all four health care professions. VA believes that the two-year limit will provide full parity across the four disciplines to all scholarship awardees and provide for the equitable recruitment of individuals in the four health care professions. In this regard, the Act requires a six-year period of obligated service following the completion of the program of study. 38 U.S.C. 7699(c)(2). Therefore, VA believes it would be inequitable to fund two years for certain participants and five for others when all participants will have the same six-year period of obligated service. VA also does not believe that the two-year period for the scholarship will dissuade psychology graduates from participating in the RCSSP. We are not making any changes based on this comment.

The commenter also had concerns regarding supervision. Because VA health care professionals may be licensed in any State and not every Vet Center employs professionals from each of the professions, proposed § 17.549(b) stated that when determining which Vet Center a scholarship recipient would be placed to carry out their service obligation, VA would consider the size and professional makeup of the current Vet Center staff to ensure appropriate supervision as required by VA professional qualification standards and for State licensure. The commenter was concerned that the unintended result of the proposed rule could be that the Vet Centers with the greatest need for additional mental health professionals will be left out because of inadequate staffing levels to supervise a scholarship recipient. The commenter acknowledged that each participant requires supervision by another professional in the same discipline who is also licensed in the State they seek to gain licensure in order to obtain their license and stated that it is important that the individual requirements of each State's licensing board be considered when placing scholarship recipients. The commenter further stated that any potential solution must prioritize State licensure for the scholarship recipient