

following: the Athena I and II, Atlas V family, the Delta family, the Falcon family, the Minotaur family, the Pegasus XL, and Taurus family. These launch vehicles would accommodate the desired range of payload masses, would provide the needed trajectory capabilities, and would provide highly reliable launch services. Individual launch vehicles would be carefully matched to the launch requirements of each particular NASA routine payload.

In the event that other launch vehicles become available after final publication of this Draft EA, they could be NEPA compliant under this Draft EA if they meet the following criteria: (1) NASA has been a cooperating agency with the Department of Defense (DoD) or FAA on the launch vehicle for that given launch site; (2) NASA has published NEPA documentation for that specific launch vehicle at that specific launch site; or (3) NASA formally adopts another agency's NEPA documentation. In addition, launch vehicles covered in this Draft EA could be eligible for launch from commercial spaceports or DoD installations not covered by this document if: (1) NASA is a cooperating agency on the NEPA documents developed by the DoD or FAA for that site; (2) NASA formally adopts those NEPA documents as its own pursuant to CEQ regulations; or (3) NASA completes its own NEPA documentation on a specific launch site.

For the NASA routine payload missions, the potentially affected environment for normal launches includes the areas at and in the vicinity of the proposed launch sites, CCAFS, Florida, VAFB, California, USAKA/RTS, RMI, WFF, Virginia, and KLC, Alaska. Because propellants are typically the largest contributors to potential environmental impacts of a NASA Routine Payload launch, the total propellant load for a payload is considered in this Draft EA. If the payload propellant load exceeds the EPC defined in the Draft EA, then additional NEPA analysis and documentation would be required. For normal launches of NASA routine payloads under the proposed action, the environmental impacts would be associated principally with the exhaust emissions from the launch vehicles. These effects would include short-term impacts on air quality within the exhaust cloud and near the launch pads, and the potential for acidic deposition on the vegetation and surface water bodies at and near each launch complex, particularly if a rain storm occurred. NASA routine payload processing and launch activities would not require any additional permits or

mitigation measures beyond those already existing, or in coordination, for launches.

There are no direct or substantial environmental impacts, including cumulative impacts, associated with the proposed action that have not already been covered by NEPA documentation for the existing launch sites, launch vehicles, launch facilities, and payload processing facilities.

**Olga M. Dominguez,**  
*Assistant Administrator for Strategic Infrastructure.*

[FR Doc. 2011-21419 Filed 8-22-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7510-13-P**

## **NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

**[Notice (11-077)]**

### **Notice of Intent To Grant Partially Exclusive License**

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to grant partially exclusive license.

**SUMMARY:** This notice is issued in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209(e) and 37 CFR 404.7(a)(1)(i). NASA hereby gives notice of its intent to grant a partially exclusive license in the United States to practice the inventions described and claimed in USPN 6,133,036, Preservation of Liquid Biological Samples, NASA Case No. MSC- 22616-2 and USPN 6,716,392, Preservation of Liquid Biological Samples, NASA Case No. MSC- 22616-3 to Quest Diagnostics Incorporated having its principal place of business in Madison, New Jersey. The patent rights in these inventions have been assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The prospective partially exclusive license will comply with the terms and conditions of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7.

**DATES:** The prospective partially exclusive license may be granted unless within fifteen (15) days from the date of this published notice, NASA receives written objections including evidence and argument that establish that the grant of the license would not be consistent with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7. Competing applications completed and received by NASA within fifteen (15) days of the date of this published notice will also be treated as objections to the grant of the contemplated partially exclusive license.

Objections submitted in response to this notice will not be made available to the public for inspection and, to the extent permitted by law, will not be released under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

**ADDRESSES:** Objections relating to the prospective license may be submitted to Patent Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel, NASA Johnson Space Center, 2101 NASA Parkway, Houston, Texas 77058, Mail Code AL; Phone (281) 483-3021; Fax (281) 483-6936.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kurt G. Hammerle, Intellectual Property Attorney, Office of Chief Counsel, NASA Johnson Space Center, 2101 NASA Parkway, Houston, Texas 77058, Mail Code AL; Phone (281) 483-1001; Fax (281) 483-6936. Information about other NASA inventions available for licensing can be found online at <http://technology.nasa.gov/>.

Dated: August 17, 2011.

**Richard W. Sherman,**  
*Deputy General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2011-21417 Filed 8-22-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## **OFFICE OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL POLICY**

### **Paperwork Reduction Act; Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Office of National Drug Control Policy.

**ACTION:** 30-Day notice and request for comments. New Information Collection Request: Drug Free Communities Support Program National Evaluation.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) intends to submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget for review and approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

**DATES:** ONDCP encourages and will accept public comments until September 22, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Address all comments in writing within 30 days to Mr. Patrick Fuchs. Facsimile and e-mail are the most reliable means of communication. Mr. Fuchs facsimile number is (202) 395-5167, and his e-mail address is [pfuchs@omb.eop.gov](mailto:pfuchs@omb.eop.gov). Mailing address is 725 17th Street, NW., Washington DC 20503. For further information contact Mr. Fuchs at (202) 395-3897.

**Abstract:** ONDCP directs the Drug Free Communities (DFC) Program in partnership with the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for Substance

Abuse Prevention. The DFC Program has two primary goals: To reduce youth substance abuse, and to support community anti-drug coalitions by establishing, strengthening, and fostering collaboration among public and private agencies.

Under reauthorization legislation (21 U.S.C. 1702), Congress mandated an evaluation of the DFC Program to determine its effectiveness in meeting objectives. In 2009, a contract was awarded to evaluate the DFC Program. This evaluation builds upon the results of an earlier evaluation and makes use of an existing web-based performance system, called the Coalition Online Management and Evaluation Tool (COMET) and the Coalition Classification Tool (CCT), to gather information from DFC grantees. COMET and CCT are being revised to reduce the burden of information collection on grantees, increase the quality of the data, and facilitate the monitoring and tracking of grantee progress. Revisions to the core outcome measures of DFC are also proposed to bring this data collection in line with the National Outcome Measures (NOMS). Proposed changes include the addition of a peer disapproval measure, the removal of the age of first use measure, and a revision to the perception of risk measure for alcohol to focus on binge drinking. Moreover, prescription drug use is proposed to be tracked as a core substance of abuse in this study.

In addition to the information collected from the COMET and CCT system, the new evaluation will include a case study component to document coalition practices. This element of the evaluation will involve interviews with coalition leaders and surveys of coalition partners from a number of agencies. Each year, nine DFC grantees will be evaluated and the information from the case studies will be shared with other grantees.

**Type of Information Collection:** Web-based data collection, surveys and interviews of DFC and Sober Truth on Preventing Underage Drinking (STOP) Act grantees.

**Title:** Drug Free Communities Support Program National Evaluation.

**Frequency:** Semi-annually by DFC and Stop Act Program Directors via COMET, and annually for DFC Program Directors and selected coalition members via the CCT. Interviews and electronic surveys of Program Directors and electronic surveys of selected coalition members will be accomplished one time.

**Affected Public:** DFC and STOP Act grantees.

**Estimated Burden:** ONDCP expects that the time required to complete each semi-annual report via COMET will be approximately five hours, and each CCT report will take approximately one hour to complete. Face to face interviews will take 1.5–2 hours and surveys will take approximately .25 hours each to complete. The estimated total amount of time required by all respondents over one year, including Program Directors and grantees to complete COMET, CCT, surveys, and interviews, is 9,680 hours.

**Goals:** ONDCP intends to use the data of the DFC National Evaluation to assess the DFC Program's effectiveness in preventing and reducing youth substance use. Two primary objectives of the evaluation are to: (1) Support an effective grant monitoring mechanism that provides the Federal government with the expertise, system, functions, and products to collect, analyze, and report data collectively, and (2) regularly monitor and measure data in order to demonstrate the progress of the DFC program and its grantees.

**Comment Request:** ONDCP especially invites comments on: whether the proposed data are proper for the functions of the agency; whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of ONDCP's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and, ways to ease the burden on proposed respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments will be accepted for thirty days.

Dated: August 18, 2011.

**Daniel R. Petersen,**

*Deputy General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2011-21548 Filed 8-22-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3180-W1-P**

## **NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES**

### **Meetings of Humanities Panel**

**AGENCY:** The National Endowment for the Humanities.

**ACTION:** Notice of meetings.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meetings of Humanities Panels will be held at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506.

### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Michael P. McDonald, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, DC 20506; telephone (202) 606-8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606-8282.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The proposed meetings are for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meetings will consider information that is likely to disclose trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential and/or information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that these meetings will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c)(4), and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. **Date:** September 7, 2011.

**Time:** 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

**Location:** Room 315.

**Program:** This meeting will review applications for Humanities Initiatives at Historically Black Colleges and Universities, High Hispanic Enrollment, and Tribal Colleges and Universities, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the June 30, 2011 deadline.

2. **Date:** September 8, 2011.

**Time:** 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

**Place:** Worburn House Conference Centre, 20 Tavistock Square, London, United Kingdom WC1H9HQ.

**Program:** This meeting will review applications for Digging into Data Challenge in Digging into Data Program, submitted to the Office of Digital Humanities at the June 16, 2011 deadline.

3. **Date:** September 8, 2011.

**Time:** 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

**Place:** Joint Information Systems Committee, London Offices, Brettenham House, 5 Lancaster Place, Conference Room 1, London, United Kingdom WC2E7EN.

**Program:** This meeting will review applications for Digging into Data Challenge in Digging into Data Program,