#### **MONTANA**

# **Park County**

Billy Miles & Bros. Grain Elevator, Jct. of East Park St. (US 89 Bus.) and North G St., Livingston, SG100005604

#### SOUTH CAROLINA

# **Charleston County**

Host of America Motel, 3245 Rivers Ave., North Charleston, SG100005609

# **Richland County**

Stone Manufacturing Company, 3452 North Main St., Columbia, SG100005610

Veterans Administration Regional Office, 1801 Assembly St., Columbia, SG100005611

## Williamsburg County

Epps-McGill Farmhouse, 679 Eastland Ave., Kingstree vicinity, SG100005612

#### **TEXAS**

## **Red River County**

Bogata Historic District, Main St., roughly between Mount Pleasant Rd. and 2nd St., Bogata, SG100005602

#### **Tarrant County**

Riverside Baptist Church, 3111 Race St., Fort Worth, SG100005603

# Wheeler County

Route 66 in Wheeler County, Texas, (Route 66 in Texas MPS), South side frontage road of I 40 between Gray Co. (TX) and Beckham Co. (OK), Shamrock vicinity, MP100005601

## VERMONT

## Windsor County

Woodstock Village Historic District (Boundary Increase), Roughly along the Ottauquechee R., Woodstock, BC100005615

A request to move has been received for the following resource:

### CONNECTICUT

# **New Haven County**

Pinto, William, House, 275 Orange St., New Haven, MV85002316

Additional documentation has been received for the following resources:

# **ARKANSAS**

### Pulaski County

Lake Nixon (Additional Documentation), 18500 Cooper Orbit Rd., Little Rock, AD100001013

#### VERMONT

## **Windsor County**

Woodstock Village Historic District (Additional Documentation), Along the Ottauquechee R., Woodstock, AD73000274

Authority: Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60

Dated: August 18, 2020.

#### Sherry A. Frear,

Chief, National Register of Historic Places/ National Historic Landmarks Program. [FR Doc. 2020–19221 Filed 8–31–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### **Bureau of Reclamation**

[RR04651000, 20XR0680A1, RX003150012000000]

# Hydroelectric Power Development at Taylor Park Dam, Uncompangre Project, Colorado

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to accept proposals, select lessee, and contract for hydroelectric power development at Taylor Park Dam.

SUMMARY: Current Federal policy allows non-Federal development of electrical power resource potential on Federal water resource projects. The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) will consider proposals for non-Federal development of hydroelectric power at Taylor Park Dam, a feature of the Uncompahgre Project, located in Colorado. Reclamation is considering such hydroelectric power development under a Lease of Power Privilege (LOPP). No Federal funds will be available for such hydroelectric power development.

DATES: A written proposal and seven copies must be submitted on or before 4:00 p.m. (MDT) January 29, 2021. A proposal will be considered timely only if it is received in the office of the Area Manager on or before 4:00 p.m. on the designated date. Interested entities are cautioned that delayed delivery to this office due to failures or misunderstandings of the entity and/or of mail, overnight, or courier services will not excuse lateness and, accordingly, are advised to provide sufficient time for delivery. Late proposals will not be considered.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written proposals to Mr. Ed Warner, Area Manager, Western Colorado Area Office, Bureau of Reclamation; 445 West Gunnison

Avenue, Suite 221, Grand Junction, Colorado 81501–5711; telephone 970– 248–0600.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Technical data, including past water release patterns, may be obtained by contacting Mr. Ryan Christianson, Water Management Group Chief, Western Colorado Area Office, Bureau of Reclamation, 445 West Gunnison Avenue, Suite 221, Grand Junction, Colorado 81501; telephone 970-248-0652; email rchristianson@usbr.gov. Reclamation will be available to meet with interested entities only upon written request to the Water Management Group Chief at the previously provided address. Reclamation will provide an opportunity for a site visit. In addition, Reclamation reserves the right to schedule a single meeting and/or visit to address the questions of all entities that have submitted questions or requested site visits. Information related to the operation and maintenance (O&M) of Taylor Park Dam may be obtained by contacting Mr. Steve Anderson, Uncompangre Valley Water Users Association; 601 North Park Avenue, Montrose, Colorado 81401; telephone 970-249-3813; email sanderson@ иууша.сот.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Uncompangre Project is a Federal Reclamation project. This Notice presents background information, proposal content guidelines, and information concerning selection of a non-Federal entity to develop hydroelectric power at Taylor Park Dam, and power purchasing and/or marketing considerations. Interested parties will not need to file an application with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). To be considered for selection, the applicant's proposed LOPP project must not impair the efficiency of Reclamation project power or water deliveries, impact the structural integrity of the project, jeopardize public safety, or negatively affect any other Reclamation project purposes.

Taylor Park Dam'is located near the town of Gunnison in west-central Colorado on the Taylor River in the Colorado River Basin. The Uncompander Project (initially authorized as the Gunnison Project) was authorized by the Secretary of the Interior on March 14, 1903, under the Reclamation Project Act of 1902 (32 Stat. 388) and approved by the President on January 5, 1911, pursuant to the act of June 25, 1910 (36 Stat. 835). The construction of Taylor Park Dam was approved by the President on November 6, 1935, pursuant to section 4 of the act

of June 25, 1910 (36 Stat. 836), and subsection B of section 4 of the act of December 5, 1924 (43 Stat. 702). The Uncompangre Valley Project Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 941) authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to contract for the sale or development of surplus power on the Uncompangre Project. The Uncompangre Valley Water Users Association (UVWUA) under its contracts with the United States, has certain operation, maintenance, and replacement responsibilities and obligations concerning Taylor Park

Reclamation is considering hydroelectric power development at Taylor Park Dam under an LOPP. An LOPP is a contractual right given to a non-Federal entity to use a Reclamation facility for electric power generation consistent with Reclamation project purposes. LOPPs have terms not to exceed 40 years. The general authority for LOPPs under Reclamation law includes, among others, the Town Sites and Power Development Act of 1906 (43 U.S.C. Sec. 522), and the Reclamation Project Act of 1939 (43 U.S.C. 485h(c)) (1939 Act).

Reclamation will be the lead Federal agency for ensuring compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) of any LOPP considered in response to this Notice. Reclamation will also lead necessary consultation with American Indian Tribal Governments and compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA), and other related environmental regulations for all elements of the proposed project.

LOPPs may be issued only when Reclamation has determined that NEPA and any other regulatory compliance requirements are completed. Any LOPP at Taylor Park Dam must accommodate existing contractual and environmental commitments related to operation and maintenance (O&M) of such existing facilities. The lessee (i.e., successful proposing entity) will be required to enter into a contract with Reclamation. This contract will (1) address requirements related to coordination of O&M with Uncompange Project stakeholders (including Upper **Gunnison Water Conservancy District** (UGWCD) stakeholders), and (2) stipulate that the LOPP lessee will be responsible for any increase in O&M costs that are attributable to the hydroelectric power development.

All costs incurred by the United States related to development and O&M under an LOPP, including NEPA and other environmental regulatory compliance, engineering reviews, and

development of the LOPP, would be the expense of the lessee. In addition, the lessee would be required to make annual payments to the United States for the use of a Federal facility at a rate of 2-3 mills per kilowatt-hour of gross generation, allowing the United States to benefit proportionally.

Under the LOPP, provisions will be included for the mill rate to increase each year commensurate with inflation based on the average of the previous 5 years of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) Price Deflator. If the 5-year GDP Price Deflator average shows no change or deflation, the LOPP rate will remain the same as the previous year's rate. The rate of increase of the 5-year GDP Price Deflator average will be capped at 5 percent. Such annual payments to the United States would be deposited as a credit to the Uncompange Project until an eligible reimbursable project expense is incurred against which the credit can be applied.

## **Proposal Content Guidelines**

Interested parties should submit proposals explaining in as precise detail as is practicable how the hydropower potential would be developed. Minimum factors by which a proposal will be scored and criteria evaluated include the following:

(1) Anticipated contractual arrangements with UVWUA for the Uncompangre Project feature(s) that are proposed for utilization in the hydropower development under consideration. Define how the hydropower development would operate in harmony with the multiple purposes of the Uncompangre Project and existing applicable contracts related to O&M of Uncompange Project feature(s) being considered for modification.

(2) Information regarding whether the applicant qualifies as a preference entity. If the proposal is made by a group of entities or by a subdivision of an entity, then the application must explain whether and why the applicant or applicants qualify as preference entities. The term "preference entity," as applied to a LOPP, means an entity qualifying for preference under Section 9c of the 1939 Act as a municipality, public corporation or agency, or cooperative or other nonprofit organization financed in whole or in part by loans made pursuant to the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as amended.

(3) Information relevant to the qualifications of the proposing entity to plan and implement such a project, including but not limited to: Type of organization; length of time in business; experience in funding, design, and construction of similar projects; industry rating(s) that indicate financial soundness and/or technical and managerial capability; experience of key management personnel; history of any reorganizations or mergers with other companies; and any other information that demonstrates the interested entity's organizational, technical, and financial ability to perform all aspects of the work. Proposals will include a discussion of past experience in developing, operating, and maintaining similar facilities and provide references

as appropriate.

(4) Geographical locations and descriptions of principal structures and other important features of the proposed development including roads and transmission lines. Proposals must estimate and describe installed capacity and the capacity of the power facilities under dry, average, and wet hydrological conditions. Proposals must also describe the daily, weekly, monthly, and annual pattern of expected generation under average, wet, and dry hydrological conditions; the ability of generation to provide ancillary services such as regulation, spinning reserves, and voltampere reactive support; and information on the reliability of the generation, potential maintenance outage schedule, and duration. If capacity and energy can be delivered to another location, either by the proposing entity or by potential third party transmission agents, the proposal must specify where that capacity and energy can be delivered. The proposal must describe the concepts and contractual arrangements (including the involved parties) related to transmission interconnection, power sales, and the proposed approach to third party transmission if required.

(5) Existing title arrangements or a description of the ability to acquire title to or the right to occupy and use lands necessary for the proposed LOPP project, including such additional lands as may be required during construction.

(6) A description of studies necessary to adequately define impacts of the proposed LOPP project on the Uncompander Project, historic properties (if such are present), and the environment. The proposal must describe any significant environmental issues associated with the proposed LOPP project and the proposing entity's approach for gathering relevant data and resolving such issues to protect and enhance the quality of the environment. The proposal will explain any proposed use of the LOPP project for conservation and utilization of the available water resources in the public interest.

- (7) Plans for assuming liability for damage to the operational and structural integrity of the Uncompander Project caused by construction, operation, and/ or maintenance of the hydropower development.
- (8) Identify the organizational structure planned for the long-term O&M of any proposed hydropower development.
- (9) A management plan, including schedules of these activities as applicable, to accomplish activities such as planning; NEPA, NHPA, and ESA compliance; necessary studies; LOPP project development; design, construction, safety plan, and facility testing; and the start of hydropower production.

(10) An estimate of development costs. These costs will include all investment costs such as the cost of studies to determine feasibility; NEPA, NHPA, and ESA compliance; other statutory compliance; design; construction; financing as well as the amortized annual cost of the investment; annual O&M expense for the hydropower development; lease payments to the United States; expenses associated with the Reclamation project; and anticipated return on investment. If there are additional transmission expenses associated with the development of the LOPP project, these expenses must also be included. The proposal must identify proposed methods of financing the LOPP project. The proposal must include an economic analysis that compares the present worth of all benefits and costs of the hydropower development.

# Selection of Lessee

Reclamation will evaluate proposals received in response to this published Notice. Reclamation may request additional information from individual proposing entities and/or all proposing entities after proposals are submitted, but prior to making a selection of a lessee.

Reclamation will give more favorable consideration to proposals that (1) responsibly develop hydropower; (2) avoid, reduce, or minimize environmental impacts; (3) clearly demonstrate that the offeror is qualified to develop the hydropower facility and provide for long-term O&M; and (4) best share the economic benefits of the hydropower development among parties (including the United States) to the LOPP. A proposal will be deemed unacceptable if it is inconsistent with Uncompangre Project purposes or interferes with UGWCD's ability to use their water allocation in Taylor Park

Reservoir, as determined by Reclamation.

Reclamation will give preference to those entities that qualify as preference entities, as defined under Proposal Content Guidelines, item (b) of this Notice, provided that they are well qualified to develop and provide for long-term O&M of the hydropower facility. If one applicant is a preference entity and the other is not, and the preference entity's proposed plans are not as well qualified as the nonpreference entity's plans, Reclamation will inform the preference entity of the specific reasons why its plans are not as well qualified and afford up to 30 calendar days for the preference entity to render its plans at least as well qualified as the other plans. All other applicants will be informed of this action. If the plans of the preference entity are rendered at least as well qualified within the time allowed, Reclamation will favor the preference entity. If the preference entity's plans are not rendered at least as well qualified within the time allowed, Reclamation will favor the other applicant.

# Notice and Time Period To Enter Into LOPP

Reclamation will notify, in writing, all entities submitting proposals of Reclamation's decision regarding selection of the potential lessee. The selected potential lessee will be provided a maximum of 24 months from the date of selection to sign the preliminary lease, complete the requirements set forth in the preliminary lease, and to sign the LOPP. The lessee will have a maximum of 1 year from the date of the execution of the LOPP to complete final designs, specifications, etc., and an additional 1 vear to begin construction. A maximum of 4 years is allowed, from the date of the preliminary lease to the beginning of construction. Maximum timeframes for construction will be determined by the Regional Director, Upper Colorado Basin—Interior Region 7. The above timeframes will only be extended for just cause resulting from actions and/or circumstances that are beyond the control of Reclamation or the lessee. Just cause and timeframe adjustments will be determined solely by the Regional Director, Upper Colorado Basin-Interior Region 7.

# Wayne G. Pullan,

Deputy Regional Director, Upper Colorado Basin—Interior Region 7, Bureau of Reclamation.

[FR Doc. 2020–19261 Filed 8–31–20; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4332–90–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1132 and 1134 (Second Review)]

## Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from China and the United Arab

#### **Emirates: Determinations**

On the basis of the record <sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from China and the United Arab Emirates would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

# **Background**

The Commission instituted these reviews on January 2, 2020 (85 FR 114) and determined on April 6, 2020 that it would conduct expedited reviews (85 FR 42916, July 15, 2020).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on August 26, 2020. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5110 (August 2020), entitled Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from China and the United Arab Emirates: Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1132 and 1134 (Second Review).

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 26, 2020.

# Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2020–19194 Filed 8–31–20; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-464 and 731-TA-1160 (Second Review)]

# Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand From China; Institution of Five-Year Reviews

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).