

or fuel to the terminal, and vessels used to provide assistance or support to the tank vessels directly transiting to the terminal, or to the terminal itself, and that have reported their movements to the Vessel Traffic Service may operate as necessary to ensure safe passage of tank vessels to and from the terminal.

(3) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard Captain of the Port and the designated on-scene patrol personnel. These personnel comprise commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the Coast Guard. Upon being hailed by a vessel displaying a U.S. Coast Guard ensign by siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator of the vessel shall proceed as directed. Coast Guard Auxiliary and local or state agencies may be present to inform vessel operators of the requirements of this section and other applicable laws.

Dated: September 11, 2001.

P.M. Coleman,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Prince William Sound, Alaska.

[FR Doc. 01-27874 Filed 11-6-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD01-01-197]

RIN 2115-AA97

Safety Zone; Route 1 Bascule Bridge, Mystic River, Mystic, CT

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Temporary final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone on all waters of the Mystic River within 100 feet of both the north and south side of the Mystic River Route 1 Bascule Bridge in Mystic, CT. This safety zone will prevent marine traffic from transiting beneath the bridge while it is being renovated. The safety zone is needed to enable the placement of construction barges in close proximity of the bridge, thus blocking the waterway, and to protect marine traffic from the hazards associated with this operation.

DATES: This rule is effective from 7 a.m. (EST) on December 1, 2001 to 7 a.m. (EST) on April 15, 2002. Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard Group/Marine Safety Office Long

Island Sound, Waterways Management Branch, on or before December 7, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of docket CGD01-01-197 and will be available for inspection or copying at Coast Guard Group/Marine Safety Office Long Island Sound, Waterways Management Branch, 120 Woodward Avenue, New Haven, CT between 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lieutenant (junior grade) Pamela P. Garcia, Waterways Management Branch, Group/MSO Long Island Sound, telephone (203) 468-4429.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number (CGD01-01-197), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. You may submit your comments and material by mail or hand delivery to Coast Guard Group/Marine Safety Office Long Island Sound, Waterways Management Branch, at the address under **ADDRESSES** in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you would like to confirm receipt of your comments or material, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all material received during the comment period. We may change this rule in view of them.

Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM. The safety zone is being established to enable the continued renovation of the Mystic River Route 1 Bascule Bridge. This operation is the final phase of a multi-year bridge renovation project. The project was divided into two phases to help alleviate the burden upon local mariners. The renovation takes place during the winter months when recreational boating traffic is minimal.

At the outset of the project, the State of Connecticut Department of Transportation held two public information meetings to discuss the need for and timing of a safety zone that effectively closes the navigable channel

beneath the bridge. No opposition to the proposed dates was received. In addition, Connecticut DOT corresponded with a number of known waterway users for the same purpose. No objections to the proposed dates were registered.

The first phase of the project requiring channel closure began December 1, 2000 and ended April 15, 2001. The closure period during the winter months had minimal impact on the needs of navigation. No complaint or objection was registered by any waterway users. Similarly, the effective dates of the present safety zone should have minimal impact on navigation. Accordingly, we determined that it was unnecessary to engage in the NPRM process for the waterway closure during the effective period of this rule. The public is invited to submit comments during the prescribed period. We may change this rule after consideration of any comments we receive.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d), we have determined that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days following its publication in the **Federal Register**. Construction crews will begin rehabilitation work on the bridge December 1, 2001. Any delay in the effective date of the safety zone beyond the start of construction would be contrary to the public interest insofar as that work may pose hazards to mariners who would otherwise pass beneath the bridge.

Background and Purpose

The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone on all waters of the Mystic River within 100 feet of both the north and south side of the Mystic River Route 1 Bascule Bridge, located in approximate position 41°21'3" N, 071°58'1" W. This safety zone is effective from 7 a.m. (EST) on December 1, 2001 to 7 a.m. (EST) on April 15, 2002. The safety zone will enable the state of Connecticut to complete the second phase of a two-year bridge renovation project that began in December 2000. A large construction barge will be placed near the bridge during renovation work thus blocking the waterway and preventing mariners from transiting through this portion of the Mystic River. The safety zone is also necessary to prevent mariners from the hazards associated with renovation and rehabilitation work on the bridge.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs

and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979).

Although this regulation prevents traffic from transiting a portion of the Mystic River, the effect of this regulation will not be significant for the following reasons: The bridge will not open to traffic during the construction period, therefore potential traffic will be limited to those vessels that could fit under the closed bridge; commercial traffic on the Mystic River north of the Route 1 Bascule Bridge is very limited; recreational traffic is minimal during the time of year the construction will occur; extensive advance notifications will be made to the maritime community via the Local Notice to Mariners, and marine information broadcasts; and prior to commencement of phase-one of this construction project the Mystic River Chamber of Commerce Task Group conducted several open meetings and communicated with local mariners regarding the closure periods.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule may affect the following entities, some of which might be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the boundaries of the safety zone during its effective dates. This rule will not have a substantial effect on small entities for the following reasons. There is very little commercial traffic north of the Route 1 Bascule Bridge. Recreational traffic needing to transit through the boundaries of the safety zone is minimal during the time of year the construction will occur. The Mystic River Chamber of Commerce Task Group has conducted several public meetings and corresponded with local mariners regarding the need for and timing of the waterway closure. Any entities that might be affected by the closure have had sufficient advance notice to make

alternate arrangements. In addition, extensive advance notifications will be made to the maritime community via the Local Notice to Mariners and marine information broadcasts.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and in what way and to what degree this proposed rule would economically affect it.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888-REG-FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Bridges.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.051(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. From December 1, 2001 to April 15, 2002, add § 165.T01–197 to read as follows:

§ 165.T01–197 Safety Zone: Route 1 Bascule Bridge, Mystic River, Mystic, CT

(a) *Location.* The following area is designated as a safety zone: all waters of the Mystic River within 100 feet of both the north and south sides of the Route 1 Bascule Bridge located in approximate position 41°21'3" N, 071°58'1" W.

(b) *Enforcement period.* This section is effective from 7 a.m. (EST) December 1, 2001 to 7 a.m. (EST) April 15, 2002.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) The general regulations contained in 33 CFR 165.23 apply.

(2) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard Captain of the Port or the designated on-scene-patrol personnel. These personnel comprise commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the Coast Guard. Upon hailed by a U.S. Coast Guard vessel by siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator of a vessel shall proceed as directed.

Dated: October 23, 2001.

Joseph J. Coccia,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Group/MSO Long Island Sound.

[FR Doc. 01–28006 Filed 11–6–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[COTP Western Alaska–01–008]

RIN 2115–AA97

Safety Zone; Gulf of Alaska, southeast of Narrow Cape, Kodiak Island, AK

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone in the Gulf of Alaska, southeast of Narrow Cape, Kodiak Island, Alaska. The zone is needed to protect the safety of

persons and vessels operating in the vicinity of the safety zone during a rocket launch from the Alaska Aerospace Development Corporation, Narrow Cape, Kodiak Island facility. Entry of vessels or persons into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Commander, Seventeenth Coast Guard District, and the Coast Guard Captain of the Port, Western Alaska, or his on-scene representative. The intended effect of the proposed safety zone is to ensure the safety of human life and property during the rocket launch.

DATES: This temporary final rule is effective from 6:30 a.m. to 10:30 a.m. each day starting November 9, 2001 through November 14, 2001, and then from 5 a.m. to 9:15 a.m. each day starting November 15, 2001 through November 21, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket COTP Western Alaska–01–008 and are available for inspection or copying at Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Anchorage, 510 “L” Street, Suite 100, Anchorage, AK 99501 between 7:30 a.m. to 4 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LCDR Diane Kalina, Marine Safety Office Anchorage, at (907) 271–6700.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was not published for this regulation. Good cause exists for not publishing a NPRM and for making this regulation effective in less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. The parameters of the zone will not unduly impair business and transits of vessels. The Coast Guard will announce via Broadcast Notice to Mariners the anticipated date and time of each launch and will grant general permission to enter the safety zone during those times in which the launch does not pose a hazard to mariners. Because the hazardous condition is expected to last for approximately 4 hours of each day for 13 days, and because general permission to enter the safety zone will be given during non-hazardous times, the impact of this rule on commercial and recreational traffic is expected to be minimal. Therefore, notice and comment is unnecessary. Additionally, the process of scheduling a rocket launch is uncertain due to unforeseen delays that can cause cancellation of the launch. The Coast

Guard attempts to publish a Final Rule, with a 30-day window, as close to the expected launch date as possible, when it is conveyed to them in time. Any delay encountered in this regulation's effective date would be unnecessary and contrary to public interest since immediate action is needed to protect human life and property from possible fallout from the rocket launch. This safety zone should have minimal impact on vessel transits and announcements via Broadcast Notice to Mariners will give vessels advanced notice of the launch.

Background and Purpose

The Alaska Aerospace Development Corporation (AADC) will attempt to launch an unmanned rocket from their facility at Narrow Cape, Kodiak Island, Alaska sometime between 8:24 a.m. and 10:10 a.m. each day from November 9, 2001 through November 14, 2001 and between 7:02 a.m. and 8:54 a.m. each day from November 15, 2001 through November 21, 2001. The safety zone is necessary to protect spectators and transiting vessels from the potential hazards associated with the launch.

The Coast Guard will announce via Broadcast Notice to Mariners the anticipated date and time of the launch and will grant general permission to enter the safety zone during those times in which the launch does not pose a hazard to mariners. Because the hazardous condition is expected to last for approximately 4 hours of each day for 13 days, and because general permission to enter the safety zone will be given during non-hazardous times, the impact of this rule on commercial and recreational traffic is expected to be minimal.

Discussion of Regulation

From the latest information received from the Alaska Aerospace Development Corporation, the launch window is scheduled for 4 hours each day from November 9, 2001 through November 21, 2001. The size of the safety zone has been set based upon the trajectory information in order to provide a greater safety buffer in the event that the launch is aborted shortly after take-off. The proposed safety zone includes an area in the Gulf of Alaska, southeast of Narrow Cape, Kodiak Island, Alaska. Specifically, the zone includes the waters of the Gulf of Alaska that are within the area by a line drawn from a point located at 57°26'53" North, 152°22'14" West, then south to a point located at 57°24'42" North, 152°23'18" West, then southeast to a point located at 57°11'32" North, 152°05'35" West, then northeast to a point located at