

### C. Submitting Requests To Make an Oral Limited Appearance Statement

To be considered timely, a written request to make an oral statement must be mailed, faxed, or sent by e-mail so as to be received by close of business (4:30 p.m. e.s.t.) on *Monday, June 7, 2004*. Written requests should be submitted to:

*Mail:* Office of the Secretary, Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

*Fax:* (301) 415-1101 (verification) (301) 415-1966).

*E-mail:* [hearingdocket@nrc.gov](mailto:hearingdocket@nrc.gov).

In addition, using the same method of service, a copy of the written request to make an oral statement should be sent to the Chair of this Licensing Board as follows:

*Mail:* Administrative Judge Ann Marshall Young, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, Mail Stop T-3F23, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

*Fax:* (301) 415-5599 (verification) (301) 415-7550).

*E-mail:* [AMY@nrc.gov](mailto:AMY@nrc.gov).

### D. Submitting Written Limited Appearance Statements

A written limited appearance statement may be submitted at any time. Such statements should be sent to the Office of the Secretary using any of the methods prescribed above, with a copy to the Licensing Board Chair by the same method.

### E. Availability of Documentary Information Regarding the Proceeding

Documents relating to this proceeding are available for public inspection at the NRC Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland; or electronically through the publicly available records component of the NRC Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible through the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. The PDR and many public libraries have terminals for public access to the Internet. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in obtaining access to the documents located in ADAMS may contact the NRC PDR reference staff by telephone at 1 (800) 397-4209 or (301) 415-4737, or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

Dated: Rockville, Maryland, May 20, 2004.

**Ann Marshall Young,**

*Chair, Administrative Judge.*

[FR Doc. 04-11853 Filed 5-25-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-263]

#### **Nuclear Management Company, LLC; Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant; Revised Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-22, issued to Nuclear Management Company, LLC (NMC), for operation of the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant (Monticello), located in Wright County, Minnesota. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this revised environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

#### **Revised Environmental Assessment**

##### *Identification of the Proposed Action*

The proposed action would revise the Monticello operating license to change the Monticello design bases and the Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR). The proposed action would revise the existing analyses for the following:

- Long-term containment response to the design-basis loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA).
- Containment overpressure (the pressure above the initial containment pressure) required for adequate available net positive suction head (NPSH) for the low-pressure emergency core cooling system pumps following a LOCA, reactor vessel isolation, or Appendix R fire.

In addition, NMC intends to use these analyses to justify revising the service water temperature licensing basis. NMC administratively limits the service water temperature to 85 °F, instead of its current licensing basis value of 90 °F, because the results of analyses of a new scenario (reactor vessel isolation with high-pressure coolant injection unavailable) showed that the design temperature for the piping attached to the wetwell would be exceeded. A license amendment is required since NMC used different methods of evaluation in the updated containment analyses from those currently described in the Monticello USAR and previously approved by the NRC. NMC's submittal of December 6, 2002, demonstrates

acceptable results for the long-term containment LOCA response with a service water temperature of 94 °F. The NPSH analyses were performed using a service water temperature of 90 °F. The lower service water temperature, 90 °F, would be operationally controlling. That is, exceeding a service water temperature of 90 °F would exceed the Monticello licensing basis since the NPSH calculations would no longer be valid.

The proposed action is in accordance with NMC's application of December 6, 2002, as supplemented September 24, 2003, and March 12, 2004.

##### *The Need for the Proposed Action*

NMC needs this license amendment because it has determined, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.59(c)(2)(viii), that the updated containment analyses involve different evaluation methods from those currently described in Monticello's USAR and previously approved by the NRC.

##### *Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

The NRC staff reviewed NMC's amendment request and will issue a safety evaluation documenting its review. The NRC staff has reviewed NMC's calculation of the mass and energy releases that are used to determine containment pressure response, including the methods and key underlying input assumptions (*e.g.*, decay heat generation).

NMC used conservative assumptions in its reanalyses which underestimate the containment pressure and overestimate the suppression pool water temperature. Some overpressure is necessary to ensure sufficient available NPSH. The conservative assumptions used in NMC's calculations and the cautions in Monticello's emergency operating procedures are intended to ensure that this pressure will be available.

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes, as set forth below, that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed changes to the Monticello design basis and USAR. The details of the NRC staff's review of the amendment request will be provided in the related safety evaluation when it is issued by the NRC.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types or amounts of effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation

exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

#### *Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

#### *Alternative Use of Resources*

The action does not involve the use of any different resource than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for Monticello dated November 1972.

#### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

On January 6, 2004, the staff consulted with the Minnesota State official, Nancy Campbell of the Department of Commerce, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

#### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see NMC's letter of December 6, 2002, as supplemented September 24, 2003, and March 12, 2004. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic

Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1 (800) 397-4209 or (301) 415-4737, or by e-mail to [pdrr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdrr@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of May 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**L. Raghavan,**

*Chief, Section 1, Project Directorate III,  
Division of Licensing Project Management,  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 04-11854 Filed 5-25-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

### **OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION**

#### **Agency Report Form Under OMB Review**

**AGENCY:** Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC).

**ACTION:** Request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provision of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to publish a Notice in the Federal Register notifying the public that the Agency is preparing an information collection request for OMB review and approval and to request public review and comment on the submission. OPIC published its first **Federal Register** Notice on this information collection request on March 22, 2004, at FR 13339, at which time a 60-day comment period was announced.

This comment period ended May 21, 2004. No comments were received in response to this notice.

This information collection submission has now been submitted to OMB for review. Comments are again being solicited on the need for the information, the accuracy of the Agency's burden estimate; the quality, practical utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and on ways to minimize the reporting burden, including automated collection techniques and uses of other forms of technology. The proposed form under review, OMB control number 3420-0019, is summarized below.

**DATES:** Comments must be received within 30 calendar days of publication of this Notice.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the subject form and the request for review prepared for submission to OMB may be obtained from the Agency submitting officer.

Comments on the form should be submitted to the Agency submitting officer.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

**OPIC Agency Submitting Officer:** Bruce I. Campbell, Records Management Officer, Overseas Private Investment Corporation, 1100 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20527; 202/336-8563.

**OMB Reviewer:** David Rostker, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503; 202/395-3897.

#### **Summary Form Under Review**

*Type of Request:* Revised form.

*Title:* Self Monitoring Questionnaire for Insurance & Finance Projects.

*Form Number:* OPIC-162.

*Frequency of Use:* Annually for duration of project.

*Type of Respondents:* Business or other institution (except farms); individuals.

*Description of Affected Public:* U.S. companies or citizens investing overseas.

*Reporting Hours:* 8.5 hours per project.

*Number of Responses:* 230 per year.

*Federal Cost:* \$15,718.

*Authority for Information Collection:* Sections 231, 234(a), 239(d), and 240A of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended.

*Abstract (Needs and Uses):* The questionnaire is completed by OPIC-assisted investors annually. The questionnaire allows OPIC's assessment of effects of OPIC-assisted projects on the U.S. economy and employment, as well as on the environment and economic development abroad.

Dated: May 21, 2004.

**Eli Landy,**

*Senior Counsel, Administrative Affairs,  
Department of Legal Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 04-11850 Filed 5-26-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3210-01-M**

### **OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION**

#### **Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Overseas Private Investment Corporation.

**ACTION:** Request for Comments.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), agencies are required to publish a notice in the **Federal Register**