

and collaborative approaches to environmental problems. NACEPT will provide advice in a timely manner and operate as a proactive and strategic body that will alert EPA to potential environmental challenges and issues that could impact the Agency's ability to protect public health and the environment, and options to address them.

Members are appointed by the Administrator of EPA for two year terms with the possibility of reappointment. The Council usually meets 3–4 times annually and the average workload for the members is approximately 10 to 15 hours per month. Members serve on the Council in a voluntary capacity; however, EPA does provide reimbursement for travel expenses associated with official government business.

Potential candidates should possess the following qualifications:

Occupy a senior position within their organization.

Broad experience outside of their current position.

Experience dealing with public policy issues.

Membership in broad-based networks.

Extensive experience in the environmental field.

Recognized expert in the subject matter to be addressed by NACEPT.

EPA is seeking nominees for representation from all sectors, in particular federal, state, local and tribal agencies, academia, industry, environmental justice, and non-governmental organizations. Nominations for membership must include a resume and short biography describing the educational and professional qualifications of the nominee and the nominee's current business address and daytime telephone number.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Sonia Altieri, Designated Federal Officer for NACEPT, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1601E), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone (202) 233-0061, e-mail: [altieri.sonia@epa.gov](mailto:altieri.sonia@epa.gov).

Dated: December 7, 2004.

**Sonia Altieri,**

*Designated Federal Officer.*

[FR Doc. 04-27553 Filed 12-15-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-7847-3]

**Proposed Agreement Pursuant to Section 122(h)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act for the MichCon Mercury Regulators Site**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; request for public comment on proposed CERCLA 122(h)(1) agreement with Michigan Consolidated Gas Co., an operating subsidiary of DTE Energy Co., for the MichCon Mercury Regulators Superfund Site.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 122(i)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1984, as amended ("CERCLA"), notification is hereby given of a proposed administrative agreement concerning the MichCon Mercury Regulators hazardous waste site in and around Detroit, Michigan (the "Site"). EPA proposes to enter into this agreement under the authority of section 122(h) and 107 of CERCLA. The proposed agreement has been executed by Michigan Consolidated Gas Co., an operating subsidiary of DTE Energy Co. (the "Settling Party").

Under the proposed agreement, the Settling Party will pay \$160,000 to the Hazardous Substances Superfund to resolve EPA's claims against it for response costs incurred by EPA at the Site. EPA incurred response costs overseeing the Settling Party's response actions to investigate and mitigate potential imminent and substantial endangerments to human health or the environment presented or threatened by hazardous substances present at the Site.

For thirty days following the date of publication of this notice, the Environmental Protection Agency will receive written comments relating to this proposed agreement. EPA will consider all comments received and may decide not to enter this proposed agreement if comments disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the proposed agreement is inappropriate or inadequate.

**DATES:** Comments on the proposed agreement must be received by EPA on or before January 18, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be addressed to the Docket Clerk, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard,

Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590, and should refer to: In the Matter of MichCon Mercury Regulators Site, U.S. EPA Docket No. V-W-05C-804.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Thomas J. Krueger, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Regional Counsel, C-14J, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590, (312) 886-0562.

A copy of the proposed administrative settlement agreement may be obtained in person or by mail from the EPA's Region 5 Office of Regional Counsel, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590. Additional background information relating to the settlement is available for review at the EPA's Region 5 Office of Regional Counsel.

**Authority:** The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9601-9675.

**Thomas Mateer,**

*Acting Director, Superfund Division, Region 5.*

[FR Doc. 04-27549 Filed 12-15-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-7848-5]

**Whitehouse Oil Pits Superfund Site; Notice of Proposed Settlement**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed settlement.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), the Environmental Protection Agency proposes to enter into a settlement with Mrs. Eloise Gleaton concerning the Whitehouse Oil Pits Superfund Site near Jacksonville, Duval County, Florida. To resolve her potential liability at the Site, the Agreement requires Mrs. Gleaton to deed certain property and grant a temporary easement over other property to the City of Jacksonville that is needed for remediation of the Site. The Agreement also requires Mrs. Gleaton to place well drilling restrictions on certain property so as not to interfere with the integrity of the remedy being implemented at the Site. EPA will consider comments on the settlement until January 18, 2005. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to

the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. Copies of the proposed settlement are available from:

Ms. Paula V. Batchelor, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Waste Management Division, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303, (404) 562-8887, [Batchelor.Paula@EPA.GOV](mailto:Batchelor.Paula@EPA.GOV).

Written comments may be submitted to Ms. Batchelor at the above address within 30 days of the date of publication.

Dated: December 1, 2004.

**Rosalind H. Brown,**

Chief, Superfund Enforcement & Information Management Branch, Waste Management Division.

[FR Doc. 04-27552 Filed 12-15-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket No. 92-105; DA 04-3679]

### Parties Asked To Refresh the Record Regarding Reconsideration of the Decision Applying the Numbering Utilization and Forecast Reports Requirements to Carriers Receiving Numbering Resources From the 500 and 900 Number Plan Areas

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice; solicitation of comments.

**SUMMARY:** On July 12, 2001, Competitive Telecommunications Association and Personal Communications Industry Association jointly filed a petition for reconsideration insisting that 500 and 900 numbering plan areas were not included in the *Numbering Resource Optimization Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*. Because the petition for reconsideration was filed some time ago, the passage of time and intervening developments may have rendered the record developed for the petition stale. Moreover, some issues raised in the petition for reconsideration may have become moot or irrelevant in light of intervening events. For these reasons, the Wireline Competition Bureau requests that the petitioners identify issues from the petition that remain unresolved and supplement the petition, in writing, to indicate which findings they still wish to be reconsidered. To the extent that intervening events may have materially altered the circumstances surrounding

the filed petition or the relief sought by filing parties, those entities may refresh the record with new information or arguments related to their original petition that they believe to be relevant to the issues. The previously filed petition will be deemed withdrawn and will be dismissed if parties do not indicate in writing an intent to pursue their petition for reconsideration.

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before December 30, 2004. Reply comments are due on or before January 6, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of each filing. All filings must be sent to the Commission's Secretary, Marlene H. Dortch, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for where and how to file comments.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen Franklin, Attorney, Wireline Competition Bureau, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, (202) 418-7400 TTY: (202) 418-0484.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the Commission's rules governing petitions for reconsideration, the Commission invites interested parties to update the record pertaining to the petition for reconsideration filed by the Competitive Telecommunications Association (CompTel) and Personal Communications Industry Association (PCIA). In the *Numbering Resource Optimization Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NRO Order)*, 65 FR 37703, June 16, 2000, the Commission, *inter alia*, adopted a mandatory utilization data reporting requirement, a uniform set of categories of numbers for which carriers must report their utilization, and a utilization threshold framework to increase carrier accountability and incentives to use numbers efficiently. Subsequent to the *NRO Order*, the Commission released a Public Notice stating that the reporting requirements established in the *NRO Order* apply to all carriers that receive numbering resources from the NANPA (*i.e.*, code holders), or that receive numbering resources from a Pooling Administrator in thousands blocks (*i.e.*, block holders), including the 500 and 900 numbering plan areas (NPAs).

On July 12, 2001, CompTel and PCIA jointly filed a petition for reconsideration insisting that 500 and 900 NPAs were not included in the *NRO Order*. Because the petition for reconsideration was filed some time ago, the passage of time and intervening

developments may have rendered the records developed for those petitions stale. Moreover, some issues raised in the petition for reconsideration may have become moot or irrelevant in light of intervening events.

For these reasons, the Wireline Competition Bureau requests the petitioners identify issues from the petition that remain unresolved now and supplement the petition, in writing, to indicate which findings they still wish to be reconsidered. To the extent that intervening events may have materially altered the circumstances surrounding the filed petition or the relief sought by filing parties, those entities may refresh the record with new information or arguments related to their original petition that they believe to be relevant to the issues. The previously filed petition will be deemed withdrawn and will be dismissed if parties do not indicate in writing an intent to pursue their petition for reconsideration.

Petitioners may file supplemental comments updating their previously filed petition for reconsideration on or before December 30, 2004. Reply Comments are due on or before January 6, 2005. All pleadings are to reference CC Docket No. 99-200. Comments may be filed using: (1) the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS), (2) the Federal Government's eRulemaking Portal, or (3) by filing paper copies. See *Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings*, 63 FR 24121 (May 1, 1998).

Comments filed through the ECFS can be sent as an electronic file via the Internet to <http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>. Generally, only one copy of an electronic submission must be filed. If multiple docket or rulemaking numbers appear in the caption of this proceeding, however, commenters must transmit one electronic copy of the comments to each docket or rulemaking number referenced in the caption. In completing the transmittal screen, commenters should include their full name, U.S. Postal Service mailing address, and the applicable docket or rulemaking number. Parties may also submit an electronic comment by Internet e-mail. To get filing instructions for e-mail comments, commenters should send an e-mail to [ecfs@fcc.gov](mailto:ecfs@fcc.gov), and should include the following words in the body of the message, "get form." A sample form and directions will be sent in reply. Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of each filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this proceeding, commenters must submit two additional