

Park One Industrial Center, 10th Street East and Avenue P, Palmdale; *Site 10* (40 acres)—California City Industrial Park, Lindbergh Boulevard and Gnatt Boulevard, California City; *Site 11* (91 acres)—Mojave Airport, Poole Street and Airport Boulevard, Mojave; and, *Site 12* (2.6 acres)—AMS Fulfillment, 29010 Commerce Center Drive, Valencia.

The grantee's proposed service area under the ASF would be portions of Los Angeles County, California, as described in the application. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies' needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is adjacent to the Los Angeles/Long Beach U.S. Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its zone to include existing Site 1 and Site 5 as "magnet" sites and existing Site 12 as a "usage-driven" site. Additionally, as part of the reorganization, the applicant has requested that acreage be reduced at Site 1 (to 509.57 acres) and that Sites 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 be removed from the zone due to changed circumstances. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the "sunset" time limits that generally apply to sites under the ASF, and the applicant proposes that Site 1 be so exempted. No additional subzones/usage-driven sites are being requested at this time. The application would have no impact on FTZ 191's previously authorized subzone.

In accordance with the FTZ Board's regulations, Christopher Kemp of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the FTZ Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is January 11, 2016. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to January 26, 2016.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the FTZ Board's Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Christopher Kemp

at Christopher.Kemp@trade.gov or (202) 482-0862.

Dated: November 5, 2015.

Elizabeth Whiteman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015-28761 Filed 11-10-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-932]

Certain Steel Threaded Rod From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013-2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the "Department") published the *Preliminary Results* of the fifth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel threaded rod from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") on May 7, 2015.¹ We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Based upon our analysis of the comments and information received, we made changes to the margin calculations for these final results. The final dumping margins are listed below in the "Final Results of Administrative Review" section of this notice. The period of review ("POR") is April 1, 2013, through March 31, 2014.

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 12, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julia Hancock or Jerry Huang, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone 202-482-1394 or 202-482-4047, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published the *Preliminary Results* on May 7, 2015.² In accordance with 19 CFR 351.309, we invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. Between June 22, 2015, and July 13, 2015, Vulcan Threaded Products Inc. ("Petitioner"),

¹ See *Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013-2014*, 80 FR 26222 (May 7, 2015) ("*Preliminary Results*") and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² *Id.*

RMB Fasteners Ltd., and IFI & Morgan Ltd. (collectively "RMB/IFI Group"), Gem-Year Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Gem-Year"), Hubbell Power Systems, Inc. ("HPS"), and Brighton Best International ("BBI") submitted case and rebuttal briefs. On June 12, 2015, the Department extended the deadline for the final results to October 19, 2015³, and again on October 6, 2015, to November 3, 2015.⁴ On September 9, 2015, the Department held a public hearing.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes steel threaded rod. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under subheading 7318.15.5051, 7318.15.5056, 7318.15.5090, and 7318.15.2095 of the United States Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order, which is contained in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum ("I&D Memo"), is dispositive.⁵

Analysis of Comments Received

We addressed all issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in this review in the I&D Memo. Attached to this notice, in Appendix I, is a list of the issues which parties raised. The I&D Memo is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building, as well as electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS"). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the

³ See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, through James Doyle, Office Director, from Julia Hancock, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, "Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Administrative Review" (June 12, 2015).

⁴ See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, through James Doyle, Office Director, from Julia Hancock, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, "Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Administrative Review" (October 6, 2015).

⁵ For a full description of the scope of the order, see Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Fifth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the People's Republic of China" (November 3, 2015) ("I&D Memo").

CRU. In addition, a complete version of the I&D Memo can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed I&D Memo and the electronic versions of the I&D Memo are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we have now calculated a dumping margin based on the sales data and factors of production (“FOP”) data submitted by the RMB/IFI Group. Additionally, the Department has selected Thailand as the primary surrogate country and valued the RMB/IFI Group’s FOP data/movement expenses with data from Thailand. For a list of all issues addressed in these final results, please refer to Appendix I accompanying this notice.

Final Results of Administrative Review

The weighted-average dumping margin for the administrative review is as follows:

Exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
IFI & Morgan Ltd. and RMB Fasteners Ltd. (collectively “RMB/IFI Group”)	39.42

In addition, the Department continues to find that the companies identified in Appendix II, attached to this notice, are part of the PRC-wide entity.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the “Act”), and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this administrative review.

Where the respondent reported reliable entered values, we calculated importer (or customer)-specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to each importer (or customer).⁶ Where the Department calculated a weighted-

average dumping margin by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions, the Department will direct CBP to assess importer-specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit rates.⁷ Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is greater than *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation.⁸ Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is zero or *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.⁹ We intend to instruct CBP to liquidate entries containing subject merchandise exported by the PRC-wide entity at the PRC-wide rate.

Pursuant to the Department’s assessment practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide entity rate. Additionally, if the Department determines that an exporter had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter’s case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter’s rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide entity rate.¹⁰

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporter listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the final results of review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, *i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent, a zero cash deposit rate will be required for that company); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will

be the PRC-Wide rate of 206 percent; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporters that supplied that non-PRC exporter. The deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: November 2, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
 - II. Scope
 - III. Background
 - IV. Determination of the Methodology for the RMB/IFI Group
 - V. Discussion of the Issues
- Comment 1: Application of Total Adverse Facts Available (“AFA”) to Gem-Year

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

¹⁰ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

Comment 2: Application of the PRC-Wide Rate to Gem-Year and Not Granting a Separate Rate

Comment 3: Opportunity To Submit Information on Corroborating PRC-Wide Rate

Comment 4: Application of Total AFA to the RMB/IFI Group

Comment 5: Application of the PRC-Wide Rate to the RMB/IFI Group and Not Granting a Separate Rate

Comment 6: Selection of Surrogate Country
Comment 7: Surrogate Value for Steel Wire Rod and Round Bar

Comment 8: Surrogate Value for Labor

Comment 9: Surrogate Financial Ratios

VI. Conclusion

Appendix II—Companies Subject to the Administrative Review That Are Part of the PRC-Wide Entity

Fastco (Shanghai) Trading Co., Ltd.

Gem-Year Industrial Co., Ltd.

Haiyan Dayu Fasteners Co., Ltd.

Jiaxing Brother Standard Part.

Midas Union Co., Ltd.

New Pole Power System Co. Ltd.

Shanghai P&J International Trading Co., Ltd.

Zhejiang Morgan Brother Technology Co. Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2015-28751 Filed 11-10-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-947; C-570-948]

Certain Steel Grating From the People's Republic of China: Continuation of the Antidumping Duty Order and Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (the ITC) have determined that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain steel grating (steel grating) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States. The Department and the ITC have also determined that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on steel grating from the PRC would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of net countervailable subsidies and material injury to an industry in the United States. Therefore, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation for these AD and CVD orders.

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 12, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Erin Kearney (AD Order), AD/CVD

Operations, Office IV, or Toni Page (CVD Order), AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0167 or (202) 482-1398, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 1, 2015, the Department initiated ¹ and the ITC instituted ² five-year (sunset) reviews of the AD and CVD orders on steel grating from the PRC,³ pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). As a result of its reviews, the Department determined that revocation of the AD order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and that revocation of the CVD order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of net countervailable subsidies. Therefore, the Department notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins and the subsidy rates likely to prevail should the orders be revoked, pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(b) and (c) of the Act.⁴

On November 4, 2015, the ITC published its determination that revocation of the AD and CVD orders on steel grating from the PRC would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act.⁵

Scope of the Orders

The products covered by these orders are certain steel grating, consisting of two or more pieces of steel, including load-bearing pieces and cross pieces, joined by any assembly process, regardless of: (1) Size or shape; (2) method of manufacture; (3) metallurgy (carbon, alloy, or stainless); (4) the

profile of the bars; and (5) whether or not they are galvanized, painted, coated, clad or plated. Steel grating is also commonly referred to as "bar grating," although the components may consist of steel other than bars, such as hot-rolled sheet, plate, or wire rod.

The scope of the orders excludes expanded metal grating, which is comprised of a single piece or coil of sheet or thin plate steel that has been slit and expanded, and does not involve welding or joining of multiple pieces of steel. The scope of the orders also excludes plank type safety grating which is comprised of a single piece or coil of sheet or thin plate steel, typically in thickness of 10 to 18 gauge, that has been pierced and cold formed, and does not involve welding or joining of multiple pieces of steel.

Certain steel grating that is the subject of the orders is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under subheading 7308.90.7000. While the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the orders is dispositive.

Continuation of the Orders

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of the AD order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, and revocation of the CVD order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(a), the Department hereby orders the continuation of the AD and CVD orders on steel grating from the PRC. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect AD and CVD cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise.

The effective date of the continuation of the AD and CVD orders will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c)(2), the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of these orders not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of this continuation notice.

These five-year sunset reviews and this notice are in accordance with sections 751(c) and 751(d)(2) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

¹ See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 80 FR 31012 (June 1, 2015).

² See *Certain Steel Grating From China: Institution of Five-Year Reviews*, 80 FR 31071 (June 1, 2015).

³ See *Certain Steel Grating from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order*, 75 FR 43143 (July 23, 2010); and *Certain Steel Grating from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 75 FR 43144 (July 23, 2010).

⁴ See *Certain Steel Grating From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 80 FR 60119 (October 5, 2015), and *Certain Steel Grating From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order*, 80 FR 60120 (October 5, 2015).

⁵ See *Certain Steel Grating From the People's Republic of China*, 80 FR 68334 (November 4, 2015).