

Comment 3: Whether the Use of the PRC-Wide Rate is Proper

[FR Doc. E9-28769 Filed 12-2-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-570-957]

#### **Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 3, 2009.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joseph Shuler or Matthew Jordan, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1293 and (202) 482-1540, respectively.

#### **Background**

On October 6, 2009, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated an investigation of certain seamless carbon and alloy steel standard, line, and pressure pipe from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See *Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 74 FR 52945 (October 15, 2009). Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than December 10, 2009.

#### **Postponement of Due Date for Preliminary Determination**

Under section 703(c)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), the Department may extend the period for reaching a preliminary determination in a countervailing duty investigation until no later than the 130<sup>th</sup> day after the date on which the administering authority initiates an investigation, if the Department determines that the parties are cooperating and the case is extraordinarily complicated. The Department finds that the instant case is extraordinarily complicated by reason of the number and complexity of the alleged countervailable subsidy practices, and the need to determine the extent to which particular

countervailable subsidies are used by individual manufacturers, producers, and exporters. As such, the Department is extending the due date for the preliminary determination to no later than 130 days after the day on which the investigation was initiated (*i.e.*, February 13, 2010). However, February 13, 2010, falls on a Saturday, and the following Monday, February 15, 2010, is a federal holiday. It is the Department's long-standing practice to issue a determination the next business day when the statutory deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday, or any other day when the Department is closed. See *Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005). Accordingly, the deadline for completion of the preliminary determination is no later than February 16, 2010.

As the Department is aware, Section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f) state that if the Department postpones the preliminary determination, it will notify all parties to the proceeding no later than 20 days prior to the scheduled date of the preliminary determination. The Department acknowledges that it inadvertently missed this deadline. We issued questionnaires to the respondents in this case on November 9, 2009. The due date for these questionnaires is December 16, 2009, which is after the unextended preliminary determination date. While the Department intended to extend the preliminary determination due date when we issued the questionnaire, due to an administrative oversight we did not complete the extension notice at that time.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f).

Dated: November 25, 2009.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E9-28881 Filed 12-2-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-560-824]

#### **Certain Coated Paper from Indonesia: Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Myrna Lobo or Justin Neuman, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2371 and (202) 482-0486, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Background**

On October 13, 2009, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the countervailing duty investigation of certain coated paper from Indonesia. See *Certain Coated Paper from Indonesia: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 74 FR 53707 (October 20, 2009). Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than December 17, 2009.

#### **Postponement of Due Date for the Preliminary Determination**

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a countervailing duty investigation within 65 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, the Department may postpone making the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which the administering authority initiated the investigation if, among other reasons, the petitioner makes a timely request for an extension pursuant to section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act. In the instant investigation, the petitioners, Appleton Coated LLC, NewPage Corporation, S.D. Warren Company d/b/a Sappi Fine Paper North America, and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, made a timely request on November 19, 2009, requesting a postponement of the preliminary countervailing duty determination to 130 days from the initiation date. See 19 CFR 351.205(e) and the petitioners' November 19, 2009, letter requesting postponement of the preliminary determination.

Therefore, pursuant to the discretion afforded the Department under 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act and because the Department does not find any compelling reason to deny the request, we are extending the due date for the preliminary determination to no later than 130 days after the date on which this investigation was initiated (*i.e.*, to February 20, 2010). However, February 20, 2010 falls on a Saturday, and it is the Department's long-standing practice to issue a determination the next business day when the statutory deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday, or any other day when the Department is closed. *See Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005). Accordingly, the deadline for the completion of the preliminary determination is now February 22, 2010, the first business day after the 130th day from initiation.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: November 25, 2009.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E9-28882 Filed 12-2-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services; Overview Information; Technical Assistance and Dissemination to Improve Services and Results for Children With Disabilities—Regional Resource Center; Notice Inviting Applications for New Awards for Fiscal Year (FY) 2010

**Note:** This notice inviting applications is open to qualified applicants to serve the Region 3 area only.

*Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number:* 84.326R.

**Note:** On July 10, 2009, we published a Notice Inviting Applications for New Awards for FY 2009 in the **Federal Register** (74 FR 33226) inviting applications for CFDA Number 84.326R using the Technical Assistance and Dissemination To Improve Services and Results for Children With Disabilities—Regional Resource Centers priority. We invited applications in that notice to support the operation of six Regional Resource Centers (RRCs) located in geographic regions established by the Secretary. Two applications were submitted to serve Region 3 and neither was recommended for funding. Through this notice, we invite applications for another

competition for a Regional Resource Center to serve Region 3.

Dates:

*Applications Available:* December 3, 2009.

*Deadline for Transmittal of Applications:* February 1, 2010.

*Deadline for Intergovernmental Review:* April 2, 2010.

## Full Text of Announcement

### I. Funding Opportunity Description

**Purpose of Program:** The purpose of the Technical Assistance and Dissemination To Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities program is to promote academic achievement and to improve results for children with disabilities by providing technical assistance (TA), supporting model demonstration projects, disseminating useful information, and implementing activities that are supported by scientifically based research.

**Priority:** In accordance with 34 CFR 75.105(b)(2)(v), this priority is from allowable activities specified in the statute or otherwise authorized in the statute (*see* sections 663 and 681(d) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), 20 U.S.C. 1400, *et seq.*).

**Absolute Priority:** For FY 2010 and any subsequent year in which we make awards based on the list of unfunded applicants from this competition, this priority is an absolute priority. Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(3), we consider only applications that meet this priority.

This priority is:

*Technical Assistance and Dissemination To Improve Services and Results for Children With Disabilities—Regional Resource Center.*

**Background:**

Over the last four decades, the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) has supported Regional Resource Centers to provide TA that is targeted to meet State-specific needs related to meeting the program requirements under Parts B and C of IDEA.

Historically, each RRC functioned independently, serving primarily as a TA provider to State educational agencies (SEAs) in the RRC's region helping the SEAs address self-identified needs related to providing services to children with disabilities. In 1998, RRCs' traditional role as TA providers expanded when they also began serving as brokers of TA, linking SEAs and local educational agencies (LEAs) to relevant OSEP-funded TA centers. Over time, and as OSEP developed its monitoring of Part C programs and issued monitoring reports from 1998 through

2003, RRCs began providing TA in their respective regions to the State Part C lead agencies (LAs).

When IDEA was last reauthorized in 2004, the increased general supervision responsibilities of SEAs and LAs under Parts B and C, respectively, also increased the need for general supervision support and collaboration among RRCs and other OSEP-funded TA Centers (*i.e.*, the National Dropout Prevention Center for Students with Disabilities and the Data Accountability Center) to provide coordinated and meaningfully informed TA. Specifically, sections 616(b) and 642 of IDEA require each State to have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) that evaluates the State's efforts to implement requirements under Parts B and C of IDEA and that describes how the State will improve its implementation of these requirements. The SPP must include measurable and rigorous targets for quantifiable indicators in the priority areas described in section 616(a)(3) of IDEA. These priority areas for Part B are—providing a free appropriate public education (FAPE) in the least restrictive environment (LRE); reducing disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent the representation is the result of inappropriate identification; and ensuring effective general supervision, including child find, transition, and dispute resolution. These priority areas for Part C are—providing early intervention services in natural environments and ensuring effective general supervision, including child find, transition, and dispute resolution.

Additionally, sections 616 and 642 of IDEA require each SEA and LA to conduct many activities annually. Each SEA and LA must submit an Annual Performance Report (APR) to the Secretary on the State's progress in meeting its targets in each of the priority areas under Parts B and C of IDEA. There are 20 priority indicators under Part B (including early childhood transition, postsecondary transition, graduation, and dropout prevention) and 14 priority indicators under Part C (including provision of early intervention services in the natural environment, timely provision of services, timely evaluation, and early childhood transition). OSEP issues annual letters of determination and response tables for each State under Parts B and C of IDEA based in large part on the State's APR data in each of these priority indicator areas.

In turn, SEAs must monitor and evaluate LEAs' implementation of Part B, and State LAs must monitor and