

the EPA Administrator providing comment on the Administrator's proposed Ozone NAAQS.

As previously announced (76 FR 4661–4662), the CASAC Panel has been asked to discuss its responses to additional charge questions regarding the Ozone reconsideration at the teleconferences on February 18, 2011 and March 3, 2011. The purpose of the March 23, 2011 teleconference is for the Panel to continue its discussion of their advice on EPA's reconsideration of the 2008 Ozone NAAQS.

**Technical Contacts:** Any technical questions concerning EPA's charge questions may be directed to Susan Stone at [stone.susan@epa.gov](mailto:stone.susan@epa.gov) or (919) 541–1146.

**Availability of Meeting Materials:** The agenda, charge questions, public comments and any other meeting materials may be found posted at <http://www.epa.gov/casac> through the calendar link on the blue navigation bar.

**Procedures for Providing Public Input:** Public comment for consideration by EPA's Federal advisory committees and panels has a different purpose from public comment provided to EPA program offices. Therefore, the process for submitting comments to a Federal advisory committee is different from the process used to submit comments to an EPA program office. Federal advisory committees and panels, including scientific advisory committees, provide independent advice to EPA. Members of the public can submit comments for a Federal advisory committee to consider as it develops advice for EPA. They should send their comments directly to the Designated Federal Officer for the relevant advisory committee. Written statements for the meeting should be received in the SAB Staff Office by March 14, 2011 so that the information may be made available to the Panel for its consideration prior to this meeting. Written statements should be supplied to the DFO via e-mail, preferably as an Adobe Acrobat PDF file.

**Accessibility:** For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact Dr. Stallworth at the phone number or e-mail address noted above, preferably at least ten days prior to the meeting, to give EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

Dated: February 22, 2011.

**Anthony Maciorowski,**  
Deputy Director, EPA Science Advisory Board Staff Office.

[FR Doc. 2011–4377 Filed 2–25–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–9270–9]

### Science Advisory Board Staff Office; Request for Nominations; CASAC Mercury Review Panel

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA Science Advisory Board (SAB) Staff Office is requesting public nominations of experts to serve on the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) panel to conduct an independent review of EPA's Mercury Technical Support Document.

**DATES:** Nominations should be submitted by March 21, 2011 per instructions below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Any member of the public wishing further information regarding this Notice and Request for Nominations may contact Dr. Angela Nugent, Designated Federal Officer (DFO), SAB Staff Office, by telephone/voice mail at (202) 564–2188; by fax at (202) 565–2098 or via e-mail at [nugent.angela@epa.gov](mailto:nugent.angela@epa.gov). General information concerning the EPA Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee can be found at the EPA CASAC Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/casac>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background:** The CASAC was established pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA) Amendments of 1977, codified at 42 U.S.C. 7409D(d)(2), to provide advice, information, and recommendations to the Administrator on the scientific and technical aspects of issues related to the criteria for air quality standards, research related to air quality, sources of air pollution, and the strategies to attain and maintain air quality standards and to prevent significant deterioration of air quality. The CASAC is a Federal Advisory Committee chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C., App. 2.

EPA is considering regulating the emissions of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) released from coal-burning electric generating units in the United States (U.S. EGUs) under Section 112(n)(1)(A) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). This regulation may potentially use a Maximally Achievable Control Device (MACT) approach to set a technology-based standard for reducing HAP emissions. EPA is developing a draft risk assessment for mercury, entitled *Technical Support Document: National Scale Mercury Risk Assessment*. This draft assessment considers the nature

and magnitude of the potential risk to public health posed by current U.S. EGU mercury emissions and the nature and magnitude of the potential risk posed by U.S. EGU mercury emissions in the future, once all anticipated CAA-related regulations potentially reducing mercury from U.S. EGUs are in place. EPA's Office of Air and Radiation has requested CASAC review of this draft document.

**Request for Nominations:** The SAB Staff Office is seeking nominations of nationally and internationally recognized experts with research experience and expertise in the following disciplines, particularly related to mercury: atmospheric fate, transport and modeling; aquatic fate, transport and modeling; bioaccumulation; human exposure; epidemiology; toxicology, including reproductive and neurotoxicology, biostatistics, and risk assessment.

#### EPA Contact for Background

#### Information Pertaining to This Review:

For questions concerning the development of EPA's mercury assessment, please contact Dr. Zachary Pekar at (919) 541–3704 or [pekar.zachary@epa.gov](mailto:pekar.zachary@epa.gov).

#### Process and Deadline for Submitting

**Nominations:** Any interested person or organization may nominate qualified individuals in the areas of expertise described above for possible service on this expert *ad hoc* Panel. Nominations should be submitted in electronic format (which is preferred over hard copy) following the instructions for "Nominating Experts to Advisory Panels and Ad Hoc Committees Being Formed" provided on the CASAC Web site. The instructions can be accessed through the "Nomination of Experts" link on the blue navigational bar on the CASAC Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/casac>. To receive full consideration, nominations should include all of the information requested.

EPA's SAB Staff Office requests: contact information about the person making the nomination; contact information about the nominee; the disciplinary and specific areas of expertise of the nominee; the nominee's curriculum vita; sources of recent grant and/or contract support; and a biographical sketch of the nominee indicating current position, educational background, research activities, and recent service on other national advisory committees or national professional organizations.

Persons having questions about the nomination procedures, or who are unable to submit nominations through the CASAC Web site, should contact Dr. Angela Nugent, DFO, as indicated above

in this notice. Nominations should be submitted in time to arrive no later than March 21, 2011. EPA values and welcomes diversity. In an effort to obtain nominations of diverse candidates, EPA encourages nominations of women and men of all racial and ethnic groups.

The EPA SAB Staff Office will acknowledge receipt of nominations. The names and biosketches of qualified nominees identified by respondents to this **Federal Register** notice, and additional experts identified by the SAB Staff, will be posted in a List of Candidates on the CASAC Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/casac>. Public comments on this List of Candidates will be accepted for 21 calendar days. The public will be requested to provide relevant information or other documentation on nominees that the SAB Staff Office should consider in evaluating candidates.

For the EPA SAB Staff Office, a balanced subcommittee or review panel includes candidates who possess the necessary domains of knowledge, the relevant scientific perspectives (which, among other factors, can be influenced by work history and affiliation), and the collective breadth of experience to adequately address the charge. In the CASAC Mercury Technical Support Document Review Panel, the SAB Staff Office will consider public comments on the List of candidates, information provided by the candidates themselves, and background information independently gathered by the SAB Staff Office. Selection criteria to be used for Panel membership include: (a) Scientific and/or technical expertise, knowledge, and experience (primary factors); (b) availability and willingness to serve; (c) absence of financial conflicts of interest; (d) absence of an appearance of a lack of impartiality; and (e) skills working in committees, subcommittees and advisory panels; and, for the Panel as a whole, (f) diversity of expertise and viewpoints.

The SAB Staff Office's evaluation of an absence of financial conflicts of interest will include a review of the "Confidential Financial Disclosure Form for Special Government Employees Serving on Federal Advisory Committees at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency" (EPA Form 3110-48). This confidential form allows Government officials to determine whether there is a statutory conflict between that person's public responsibilities (which includes membership on an EPA Federal advisory committee) and private interests and activities, or the appearance of a lack of impartiality, as

defined by Federal regulation. The form may be viewed and downloaded from the following URL address at <http://www.epa.gov/sab/pdf/epaform3110-48.pdf>.

The approved policy under which the EPA SAB Office selects subcommittees and review panels is described in the following document: *Overview of the Panel Formation Process at the Environmental Protection Agency Science Advisory Board* (EPA-SAB-EC-02-010), which is posted on the SAB Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/sab/pdf/ec02010.pdf>.

Dated: February 22, 2011.

**Anthony F. Maciorowski**,  
Deputy Director, EPA Science Advisory Board  
Staff Office.

[FR Doc. 2011-4374 Filed 2-25-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9271-7]

### Status of Motor Vehicle Budgets in Submitted State Implementation Plan for Transportation Conformity Purposes; Maricopa County (Phoenix) PM-10 Nonattainment Area, Arizona Notice of Withdrawal of Adequacy of Motor Vehicle Emissions Budget

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of withdrawal of adequacy; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects a notice published in the **Federal Register** on February 9, 2011 (76 FR 7204) announcing that EPA has withdrawn its May 30, 2008 adequacy finding of the 2010 particulate matter of ten microns or less (PM-10) motor vehicle emission budget (MVEB) for the Maricopa County (Phoenix) Nonattainment Area.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gregory Nudd, U.S. EPA Region 9, 415-947-4107, [nudd.gregory@epa.gov](mailto:nudd.gregory@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On January 31, 2011, EPA withdrew its May 30, 2008 adequacy finding of the 2010 particulate matter of ten microns or less (PM-10) motor vehicle emission budget (MVEB) for the Maricopa County (Phoenix) Nonattainment Area, and on February 9, 2011, EPA announced the withdrawal of the MVEB in the **Federal Register** at 76 FR-7204. EPA's February 9, 2011 notice contained a number of incorrect dates. EPA is making the corrections to the February 9, 2011 notice in today's document to avoid confusion regarding the date of the letters from EPA to the Arizona

Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) and the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) withdrawing the adequacy finding and the effective date of the withdrawal of the adequacy finding, which is one and the same, January 31, 2011.

Today, EPA is making the following corrections to the February 9, 2011 notice:

1. The section **DATES** is corrected to read as follows: "EPA's withdrawal of the May 30, 2008 adequacy finding was made in letters dated January 31, 2011 from EPA Region 9 to ADEQ and MAG. This withdrawal of the May 30, 2008 adequacy finding was effective on January 31, 2011."

2. Under the section **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**, the final paragraph under subsection "I. Background" is corrected to read as follows: "EPA has withdrawn its May 30, 2008 adequacy finding without prior notice and comment because adequacy findings are not considered rulemakings subject to the procedural requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act. In addition, EPA does not believe notice through EPA's conformity Web site is necessary in advance because the withdrawn SIP is no longer pending before EPA for consideration. Consequently, further public comment would be unnecessary and not in the public interest. By sending the January 31, 2011 letters, EPA has also withdrawn all statements and comments previously made regarding its May 30, 2008 adequacy finding of the MVEBs budgets for transportation conformity purposes."

3. Under the section **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**, the paragraph under subsection "II. Notice of Withdrawal of MVEB Adequacy Determination" is corrected to read as follows: "This is an announcement of EPA's withdrawal of its May 30, 2008 adequacy finding. EPA withdrew this adequacy finding in letters dated January 31, 2011 from Deborah Jordan, Director, Air Division, EPA Region 9 to Eric C. Massey, Director, Air Quality Division, ADEQ and Dennis Smith, Executive Director, MAG. The effective date of this withdrawal is January 31, 2011 based on EPA's transportation conformity regulation at 40 CFR 93.118(f)(1)(vi). This announcement will also be made on EPA's Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/index.htm> (once there, click on the 'Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions' button and proceed to the Region 9 page for SIP submissions that have already been found adequate or inadequate)."